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SECTION 1 GENERAL

1 Introduction

1.1 Objective
The objective of this class programme (CP) is to give a description for type approval (TA) scheme for composite drive shafts and flexible couplings.

The general requirements for obtaining DNV GL type approval certificate is given in class programme DNVGL CP 0038 Type approval scheme.

The procedures and requirements described in this CP are applicable for obtaining TA certificate based on requirements in the Society’s rules and standards.

Guidance note:
This class programme is not applicable for obtaining EU Marine Equipment Directive (MED) certificates. Visit www.dnvgl.com for information on MED certification.

The manufacturer shall be recognised as a qualified production site by an approval of manufacturer certificate according to DNVGL CP 0258. The TA can be combined with the initial assessment of production facilities according to DNVGL CP 0258.

1.2 Scope
This CP gives a description of the procedures and requirements related to documentation, design and type testing applicable for TA of composite drive shafts and flexible couplings.

This CP does not set the design requirements to the composite drive shafts and flexible couplings. TA is based on compliance with design requirements given in the the Society's rules and/or other regulations and standards. The CP describes how to document compliance with the requirements in order to obtain a TA certificate for the equipment. This includes, where relevant, technical requirements for how the type tests shall be performed.

This CP covers drive shafts and flexible couplings consisting of a central section(s) fabricated from a fibre-reinforced thermoset plastic (FRP) which is joined at each end to a metallic flange (CMn-steel, corrosion resistant steel, titanium etc.) for connection and for load transfer to other driveline components. The central FRP section may be divided in more than one piece, the pieces being joined with or without the aid of metallic flanges. Joints may consist of adhesive bonds or mechanical connections (e.g. pinned or bolted connections) or combinations thereof.

A type approval covers the central FRP section(s) and the bonds between this section(s) and the flanges. (Metal flanges and other metallic components shall comply with the rules requirements for shafting.)

A type approval can be given for a range of shaft designs. An approved range can include:

— a range of nominal torques for shafts/couplings of similar geometrical configuration and where the variation of the capacity of the shaft/coupling is achieved by scaling the design
— minor changes or variations in design details, e.g. limited variations of the number of pins, the pin diameter, pin configuration and/or laminate thickness for pinned connections, limited changes in bonded joint configurations etc.

A type approval will be given for one specified set of raw materials, one specified method of fabrication of the central section and for one specified method of bonding between central section and the flanges including choice of materials (e.g. adhesive, type of material, steel grade etc. in the flange etc.).

---e-n-d---of---g-u-i-d-a-n-c-e---n-o-t-e---

1 Normally one Type Approval Certificate would include a range of designs where the ratio of the maximum value to the minimum value of the design parameters (e.g. diameter, wall thickness etc.) is equal to 2.5
A DNV GL Type Approval Certificate is normally limited to one manufacturer at one production site, however, other arrangements may be agreed upon with the Society. Type tests as specified in Sec. 7 shall be carried out and verified in one of the following ways:
— at a DNV GL laboratory
— at a recognized and independent laboratory or a laboratory accepted by the Society
— at the manufacturer’s premises in the presence of the Society’s surveyor.

1.3 Application

The DNV GL rules require that composite drive shafts and flexible couplings are type approved in accordance with this CP for equipment to be installed on vessels classed with the Society.

A TA certificate in accordance with this CP will confirm compliance with the requirements in the DNV GL rules as specified in [1.1]. The TA certificate will not confirm compliance with requirements in other parts of the rules. In case additional requirements in other parts of the rules shall be covered by the TA certificate, this shall be specified in the application for TA and will be stated in the TA certificate.

2 References

Standards referred to in this document:
— ISO 9001:2008 Quality management systems - Requirements
— ISO 75-1, Plastics -- Determination of temperature of deflection under load -- Part 1: General test method
— ISO 75-2; Plastics -- Determination of temperature of deflection under load -- Part 2: Plastics and ebonite
— ISO 75-3; Plastics -- Determination of temperature of deflection under load -- Part 3: High-strength thermostetting laminates and long-fibre-reinforced plastics.

3 Documentation

For TA of composite drive shafts and flexible couplings the following documentation shall be submitted by the manufacturer at initial type approval and updated, at renewal. The documentation shall, to the extent possible, be submitted as electronic files. The manufacturer shall keep one (1) copy of type approval documentation in their own file. The documentation that forms the basis for the TA shall be easily available for the Society’s surveyors at the TA applicant’s premises. When documentation is submitted in paper format, normally two copies of the documentation shall be submitted to the Society. No documentation will be returned to the company applying for TA.

The documentation shall be in the English language, if not otherwise agreed. (Please number documentation according to below list to facilitate review):

1) type designation, i.e. product name (grade) with list of variants to be included in and stated on the type approval certificate
2) name and address of the manufacturer, to be listed on type approval certificate. The following shall be specified:
   — details for all relevant production places
   — manufacturer’s name
   — mailing address
   — contact person
   — phone and fax number
   — e-mail and web address (if applicable).
3) basis for approval. A reference of applicable rules and standards which the product shall comply with
4) product specification/description including design, laminate lay-up, material specifications etc.
5) field of application and operational limitations of the product
6) description of production processes, including standard operating procedures (SOP)²
7) description of quality assurance system or copy of ISO 9001 certificate
8) quality plan for drive shafts/flexible couplings intended to be installed on board ships¹
9) test results (from tests already carried out) with references to standards, methods etc.
10) information regarding marking of the product or packaging¹
11) in-service experience, if available
12) witnessed type test results and initial assessment report by DNV GL local office shall be submitted when completed
13) list of test and measuring equipment, including calibration certificates.

The type approval of the drive shafts/flexible couplings will be based on:

— design analyses (calculations of stress and strain) of the central section(s) and the joints according to recognized engineering practice for one or more selected sizes of the sizes included in the type approval. The number of documented designs shall be agreed with the Society
— small-scale materials testing for characterization of laminate properties and the bond between central section(s) and flanges. The extent of materials testing shall be agreed with the Society.
— full scale testing of one or more of the sizes included in the type approval, as specified in this document
— a specification of materials used
— a specification of the method of fabrication of the central section(s) and of the bonds.

² to be verified by initial assessment prior to issuance of type approval certificate
SECTION 2  DESIGN INPUT

1 Design requirements
The composite drive shafts and flexible couplings shall comply with the relevant requirements of the Society’s rules and standards.

2 Functional requirements
The type approval will be given based on the following functional requirements:
— torsional static strength – transfer of engine torque
— torsional fatigue strength – sustain normal operational load cycles and induced vibrations
— bending fatigue strength – sustain permanent and variable shaft misalignments
— angular misalignment – accommodate shaft misalignments under given maximum bending moments (applies to flexible couplings)
— axial offset – accommodate axial offset of shaft under given maximum reactions forces (applies to flexible couplings)
— radial offset – accommodate radial offset of shaft under given maximum reaction forces (applies to flexible couplings).

Reliable documentation of the following shall be provided:
— torsional stiffness – for torsional vibration analysis
— bending stiffness – for calculation of critical revolutions pr. minute.

In addition the following item may be evaluated in a type approval:
— resistance to impact damages due to e.g. handling, dropped objects etc.

Guidance note:
There are no requirements to impact resistance in this class programme. Designs particularly sensitive to impact damages will be subject to special consideration.

Other functional requirements may be included depending on the type of installation for which the component is intended. In such a case the drive shaft/flexible coupling design and fabrication method will be subject to special consideration.

Guidance note:
If the composite shaft is passing fire safe bulkhead of the compartment, fire safety according to IMO/SOLAS shall be validated by tests.

3 Load conditions
The shaft shall as a minimum be analysed for the following load conditions:
— start-stop cycles: start – max. load – reversing (if relevant) – stop. Dynamic effects shall be included.
— rare peak torques, e.g. due to synchronization problems with a generator or other rare disturbances of normal operation
— transient operation, e.g. passing through a speed range barred from normal operation, ice shock loads etc.
— steady state torsional vibrations
— bending induced by shaft misalignment
— angular misalignment (for flexible couplings)
— radial offset (for flexible couplings)
— axial offset (for flexible couplings).
The different parameters are described in Figure 1.

![Graph indicating parameters listed below](image)

**Figure 1** Graph indicating parameters listed below

1. peak torque: start - max. load - stop-cycle, rare peak torques
2. \(2 \times T_v\) (transient): transient operation vibrations
3. \(2 \times T_v\) (continuous): steady state torsional vibrations
4. peak torque: reversing.

The loads and the associated number of load cycles shall be calculated according to the relevant rule requirements for shafting for a particular application. These load conditions shall be specified in the form of a table of maximum and minimum torque in each load cycle and the corresponding number of load cycles. Alternatively manufacturer shall specify the peak torque and a fatigue load envelope in this form within which the shaft satisfy the requirements to fatigue strength.

The load conditions for bending, axial offset, radial offset and angular misalignment shall be documented in the same way when relevant. For these modes of loading other load conditions than used for the torsional load may be relevant.

If other functional requirements than listed above are identified other load conditions may apply.

In the Type Approval Certificate will be stated a maximum design envelope of load conditions based on the manufacturer's specification and verified through the type approval process. Similar tables for bending, axial offset, radial offset and angular misalignment will be included as required.

## 4 Environmental conditions

If not specified otherwise the type approval will be given for operation under the following conditions:

- a temperature within the range +5 to +55°C
— a relative humidity within the range 0 to 96%
— no exposure to liquids or gases with a possible detrimental effect on the properties of the shaft.

If other operational conditions shall apply this shall be specified by the manufacturer and they shall be reflected in the design analysis and, if necessary, during materials testing and type testing. In such a case as a minimum the following conditions shall be defined:

— maximum and minimum operating temperature
— maximum relative humidity
— possible exposure to detrimental liquids or gases.

The environmental conditions will be stated on the type approval certificate.
SECTION 3 MATERIALS

1 General

The following types of fibres are accepted:
— glass-fibre
— carbon-fibre.
Other types of fibres may be accepted based on special consideration.
The following type of resins is accepted:
— epoxy.
Other resin types may be accepted based on special consideration.

Only type approved fibres, resins and adhesives will be accepted. In case of the adhesive the type approval shall cover the particular combination of adherents, surface preparation of the adherents and the specified environmental conditions.

Fibres, resins and adhesives not covered by a type approval may be accepted after special consideration.

The temperature of deflection of the laminate(s) measured according to ISO 75, method A shall exceed the maximum operation temperature by at least 20°C.

The stacking sequence in laminates shall be such that the risk for delamination between plies is minimised:
— it shall be avoided to stack parallel plies of unidirectional reinforcement on top of each other
— the angle between the principal directions of two adjacent plies shall preferably exceed 30°
— for components not fabricated by filament winding one shall aim at having fibres oriented in at least three different angles in the laminate, observing the requirement above.

Adhesives shall be selected with due regard to the operating conditions. As a minimum the adhesive shall be suitable for the environmental conditions specified in Sec.2 [4]. The adhesive shall combine adequate properties at high and low temperatures. The minimum glass transition temperature of the adhesive shall exceed the maximum operation temperature by at least 15°C. The peeling strength of the adhesive at low temperatures shall be addressed especially.

The risk for corrosion, e.g. in connection with use of carbon fibre reinforcements together with steel, shall be considered and eliminated when necessary depending on the type of installation.
SECTION 4 FAILURE MECHANISMS AND CRITERIA

1 Failure mechanisms
The FRP section(s) shall as a minimum be analysed for the following failure mechanisms:

- fibre failure
- matrix cracking
- delamination
- buckling
- fatigue failure.

The bonds between the FRP section(s) and flanges shall as a minimum be analysed for the following failure mechanisms, as relevant:

- fibre failure
- matrix cracking
- delamination
- shear failure of the bond line (the possible effect of peeling stresses shall be carefully considered)
- bearing pressure (e.g. hole edge bearing pressure in pinned connections)
- fatigue failure.

Other failure mechanisms shall be analysed if relevant for the drive shaft/flexible coupling design. This will for example apply to novel designs or novel technical solutions. Such cases will be subject to special consideration.

The design analysis shall include a careful analysis of stresses due to cure cycles of the central section(s) and of the adhesives, including residual stresses.

2 Failure criteria
For the FRP section(s) a maximum stress failure criterion shall be used. The mechanical strength values and load effects shall be expressed as stress in the laminate and/or in the individual plies. Other failure criteria may be used if conservative w.r.t. the maximum stress criteria.

For bearing pressure a criterion based on maximum stress shall be used.

For buckling of the FRP section(s), criteria based on maximum shear stress and maximum bending stress shall be used.

For adhesive bonds a failure criteria based on shear line-load in the adherents (laminate and flange) or similar shall be used. A criteria based on nominal bondline shear stress shall not be used.
SECTION 5 MATERIAL PROPERTIES

1 Mechanical properties – static strength

The characteristic values of mechanical strength used in the calculation of the capacity shall represent the 2.5% fractile, i.e. the probability that the mechanical strength is larger than the characteristic value shall be 97.5%.

Note:

Mechanical properties on ply-level can often be assumed to be normally distributed.

---e-n-d---of---n-o-t-e---

The modulus of the laminate can be measured in relevant tests or estimated based on generally accepted micromechanic models and laminate theory. (The torsional stiffness of the shaft subjected to the type tests shall be verified during the tests, see Sec.7.) The variability in modulus of the laminate as manufactured shall be estimated based on generally accepted methods and/or experience.

The change in mechanical properties during the service life of the shaft shall be determined and reflected in the design analysis. As a minimum the following effects shall be considered:

— effect from the surrounding environment: temperature, humidity, exposure (see Sec.2 [4])
— fatigue loading, which may have an effect on the shaft stiffness and mechanical strength of the FRP section and the bonds.

2 Fatigue strength

Fatigue strength data shall be generated based on recognised methods to the satisfaction of the Society. Fatigue strength data of filament wound laminates and laminates based on unidirectional pre-pregs can be based on fatigue testing of 0°/90° laminates with a stacking sequence representative for the end product and loaded in the most relevant direction. The fatigue tests may be carried out as pulsating tensile tests. The R-value shall be as close to zero as possible and not larger than 0.05.

Fatigue strength data for adhesive bonds may be derived from pulsating fatigue testing of double-lap-shear joint specimens as long as the results can be considered conservative with respect to the finished product. The specimens shall have substrates, surface preparation, adhesive and cure cycle representative for the finished product.

Fatigue strength data used in calculations shall be presented and analysed on a double logarithmic scale.
SECTION 6 DESIGN ANALYSES

1 Static strength

The mechanical strength of the drive shaft/flexible coupling shall be determined for each of the specified failure mechanisms by use of standard analytical methods recognised by the industry, such as adequate stress analyses, conventional laminate theory, micromechanics, analysis of the distribution of bond-line shear stress etc. Careful attention shall be given to stress concentrations. Other methods may be accepted based on special consideration. The analytical methods shall be substantiated by adequate small scale and large scale tests. Full scale test(s) as specified in Sec.7 shall be carried out.

The capacity of the shaft shall be determined with respect to each of the specified failure mechanisms (except fatigue) for the peak torque and peak bending moment. In the analysis the peak torque and the peak bending moment shall be combined in a conservative manner. This load combination is designated the “Design Load”.

Similarly the Design Load for a coupling shall be the worst case combination of the peak torque and allowable axial and radial offsets and angular misalignment.

Local stress- and strain-levels shall be calculated at ply-level at all relevant locations such that a representative picture of the stress-/strain-distribution in the shaft including the joints is achieved. All strain concentrations, e.g. due to geometrical effects, shall be included in the analysis.

The variability in the modulus of the material shall be included in a conservative way in the analysis.

The ratio ”SF” of characteristic strength to the local stress or strain corresponding to the design load shall be:

**Table 1 Safety factors**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part</th>
<th>Failure mechanism</th>
<th>SF</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Central section</td>
<td>Fibre failure</td>
<td>3.0 - 4.0&lt;sup&gt;1)&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joint</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central section</td>
<td>Matrix cracking</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joint</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central section</td>
<td>Delamination – shear</td>
<td>2)&lt;sup&gt;2)&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joint</td>
<td>Delamination – through-thickness stress</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central section</td>
<td>Buckling</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joint: adhesive bond</td>
<td>Shear of adhesive bond-line</td>
<td>6.0&lt;sup&gt;3)&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joint: pin/bolt connection</td>
<td>Contact pressure</td>
<td>5.0&lt;sup&gt;3)&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>1)</sup> for designs with SF ≥4.0 design against fatigue due to torsion will normally not be required, see [3]. For designs with 3.0 ≤ SF < 4.0 documentation of the slope “m” of the fatigue curve of the material will be required for design against torsion fatigue, see [3]. For fatigue wrt other load conditions (e.g. deformations in flexible couplings) other requirements apply, see [3]

<sup>2)</sup> to ensure an adequate safety against delamination the through thickness shear stress in the laminate including residual stresses shall not exceed 5 MPa at any location

<sup>3)</sup> the capacity of the joint will be based on static tests in addition to the design analyses, see Sec.7. The manufacturer shall provide a calculation procedure for applying the test results to other shaft designs included in the type approval to the satisfaction of the Society.
The shaft's/coupling's strength with respect to buckling shall be determined by FEM calculations supported by the type tests, see Sec. 7. The FEM analysis and/or tests shall be carried out in such a way that conservative predictions of the buckling strength are obtained. The safety factor SF shall apply to this conservative prediction. If the buckling strength of the component is based on realistic tests in full scale taking into account all relevant imperfections (e.g. geometrical) a SF lower than stated in Table 1 may be accepted.

For long cylindrical cross sections the critical buckling stress in torsion can be calculated according to the following equation as an alternative to FEM-analyses or tests:

\[
\tau_{\text{crit}} = \frac{E}{1 - \nu^2} \cdot \left(\frac{t}{l}\right)^2 \cdot \left( -2.39 + \sqrt{96.9 + 0.605 \cdot H^{1.5}} \right)
\]

\[H = \sqrt{1 - \nu^2} \cdot \frac{l^2}{r \cdot t}\]

For long cylindrical cross sections the critical buckling stress in bending can be calculated according to the following equation as an alternative to FEM-analyses or tests:

\[
\sigma_{\text{crit}} = \frac{E}{\pi(1 - \nu^2)} \cdot \frac{t}{r}
\]

\[\tau_{\text{crit}}\] = critical shear stress due to torsion  
\[\sigma_{\text{crit}}\] = critical bending stress  
\[r\] = inner radius of cylindrical section  
\[t\] = minimum thickness of laminate in central section  
\[l\] = length of central section between flanges  
\[E\] = the lowest of the engineering moduli in longitudinal and circumferential direction of the central section  
\[\nu\] = the lowest of the Poisson ratios of the central section.

The equations are valid for \(r/t > 10\).

Combined loading shall be checked according to the following formula:

\[
\tau_{\text{crit}}/\tau + \sigma_{\text{crit}}/\sigma \geq SF
\]

where \(\sigma\) and \(\tau\) refers to the extreme bending stress and extreme torsional stress in the central section.

2 Calculation of stiffness

The torsional and bending stiffnesses of a shaft and the relevant stiffness parameters of a coupling shall be calculated by the same analytical approach as specified in [1]. The variability in the modulus of the material shall be included in a conservative way in the analysis.
3 Fatigue strength

Torsion:
The fatigue strength of the drive shaft(s)/flexible coupling(s) w.r.t. to torsion shall be demonstrated based on the chosen safety factors (SF) in the design as specified in [1]. Procedures for calculation of the fatigue strength of the drive shaft/ flexible coupling design(s) included in the type approval certificate shall be based on generally accepted principles and they shall be submitted as part of the type approval documentation.

SF ≥ 4.0; for designs w.r.t. fibre failure, design against torsion fatigue will normally not be required.

Guidance note:
The combination of SF and “m” will give a fatigue strength of the central section exceeding the rules requirements for the fatigue strength of the metallic end flanges. For reinforcement materials and combinations of reinforcement and matrix materials where “m” may take on a lower value or for materials for which sufficient knowledge regarding their fatigue characteristics has not yet been accumulated, documentation of fatigue properties of the drive shaft/flexible coupling will be required.

3.0 ≤ SF < 4.0; for designs w.r.t. fibre failure, documentation of the torsion fatigue properties (for torsion in any part of the drive shaft/flexible coupling) including the slope “m” of the fatigue curve of the material will be required. “m” shall exceed 12.

For fatigue w.r.t. other load conditions (e.g. deformations in flexible couplings) other requirements apply, see Other load conditions.

Requirements to fatigue testing (other than full scale test) are given in Sec.5 [2].

It shall be documented that the slope m of the fatigue curve of the adhesive bond is larger than or equal to m ≥ 7.0.

Guidance note:
This combination of SF and m will give a fatigue strength of the adhesive bond exceeding the rules requirements for the fatigue strength of the metallic end flanges. For bond materials and designs where “m” may take on a lower value or for materials for which sufficient knowledge regarding their fatigue characteristics has not yet been accumulated, documentation of fatigue properties of the drive shaft/flexible coupling will be required.

As an alternative the fatigue strength can be demonstrated by full scale testing according to the procedure specified in Sec.7 [3].

Other load conditions

The fatigue strength w.r.t. other load conditions shall be demonstrated by similar methods as for torsion, except that the provisions based on the level of SF do not apply. For flexible couplings a full fatigue analyses w.r.t. to the relevant allowable misalignments will normally be required. Full scale testing may be required for complicated designs and for designs with a high degree of utilisation. All relevant conditions shall be considered in the analyses, i.e. as a minimum torsion, bending, axial and radial offset and angular misalignment as relevant.

All requirements to fatigue strength is based on the assumption that the residual strength of the drive shaft/ flexible coupling will never be lower than 90% of the original value during the drive shaft’s/flexible coupling’s service life. If the reduction is larger the drive shaft/flexible coupling will be subject to special consideration.
SECTION 7 TYPE TESTING

1 General
At least one drive shaft/flexible coupling design shall be tested with respect to properties under static torsional load. Fatigue testing shall be carried out as required in the preceding sections. If the bending moment in the shaft is significant testing with bending moments may also be required.

2 Test specimens
At least one test specimen shall be prepared for testing of the static strength. Specimens for fatigue testing shall be prepared as agreed with the Society. The test specimens shall be representative for the normal production. The same materials and fabrication methods as applied in the normal production shall be used when fabricating the specimens. The nominal torque of the specimen(s) for testing shall be at least equal to 30% of the maximum nominal torque included in the range for which the type approval shall apply.
For shafts the length of the central section between the innermost edges of the end flanges shall be at least equal to 3 times the outside diameter of the central section. For particular designs where the length of the component is less than 3 times the diameter the requirement to the length of the specimen may be waived.
The interface between the central section and the end flanges shall be identical in design to normal production shafts. Modifications to the metallic flanges for testing purposes, not affecting the performance of the joint are acceptable.

3 Test under static load
The purpose of the test is to verify that the calculated torsional strength and stiffness of the shaft will be reached in actual production with a certain level of confidence. As a minimum one test shall be carried out.
Instrumentation:
The following instrumentation shall be included:
— equipment for continuously measuring the torque with an uncertainty < 4%
— equipment for continuously measuring the twist between the end flanges with an uncertainty to be agreed in each case
— equipment for continuous (or equivalent) logging of torque and twist.
It is recommended that additional equipment such as e.g. strain gauges are included to gain further information regarding the performance of the shaft and to verify the design calculations.
Test environment:
The test shall be carried out in a temperature within the range 22 ± 5°C and with a relative humidity within the range 35 – 90% unless otherwise agreed.
Test procedure:
The specimen shall be loaded in pure torsion. Four load sequences shall be carried out:
Seq. 1-3: the shaft shall be loaded to peak torque and back to zero torque three times
Seq. 4: the torque shall be increased to failure of the shaft.
In all sequences the torque shall be increased/decreased with a rate not exceeding the nominal torque/60 pr. second.
When the torque exceeds three times the nominal torque sensitive measuring equipment, except the equipment measuring and logging the torque, may be disconnected.
After the test has been completed a graph or graphs over torque vs. twist until failure with adequate resolution and covering all sequences shall be submitted to the Society together with documentation of the location of the failure and the mechanism of the failure.
Acceptance criteria:
The maximum torque recorded during the test, $T_{\text{fail}}$, shall satisfy the following requirement:

$$T_{\text{fail}} \geq 1.16 \cdot SF_{\text{max}} \cdot \text{Peak torque}$$

Where $SF_{\text{max}}$ is equal to the maximum of the safety factors $SF$ specified in Sec.6 Table 1.

Guidance note:
The requirement is based on the assumption that the standard deviation of torsional strength of samples from normal production does not exceed 7% of the mean. It is required that the $T_{\text{fail}}$ shall exceed the expected mean failure torque value $(1.16 = 1/(1.0 - 2 \cdot 0.07))$.

---e-n-d---of---g-u-i-d-a-n-c-e---n-o-t-e---

Guidance note:
$SF$ may have different values for different parts of the component (e.g. for the central section and the joints). To be able to test all relevant parts of the component it may be necessary to make specially designed test specimens (different from the final design of the component) for testing of each part of the component, e.g. the central section and the joints to the end flanges. More than one specimen may have to be tested.

---e-n-d---of---g-u-i-d-a-n-c-e---n-o-t-e---

If the test result fails to meet the requirement above an additional specimen shall be tested. The mean value of the maximum torques recorded in the two tests shall exceed:

$$1.16 \cdot SF_{\text{max}} \cdot \text{Peak torque}$$

No result shall be lower than $SF_{\text{max}} \cdot \text{Peak torque}$.

4 Full scale fatigue testing
Full scale fatigue testing shall be carried out when required as specified in Sec.7 [3].

Purpose:
The purpose of the test(s) is to verify the fatigue strength of the shaft and that it will be reached in actual production with a certain level of confidence.

Fatigue test load condition:
The test condition during the fatigue test(s) shall be based on the fatigue load conditions as specified in Sec.2 [3]. A table as shown below shall be established:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Amplitude</th>
<th>Range</th>
<th>Cycles</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>$M_1$</td>
<td>$A_1$</td>
<td>$\Delta T_1$</td>
<td>$N_1$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>$M_2$</td>
<td>$A_2$</td>
<td>$\Delta T_2$</td>
<td>$N_2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>$M_3$</td>
<td>$A_3$</td>
<td>$\Delta T_3$</td>
<td>$N_3$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>etc.</td>
<td>etc.</td>
<td>etc.</td>
<td>etc.</td>
<td>etc.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

where:

$M_i$ = mean torque for condition \"i\"
$A_i$ = torque amplitude for condition \"i\"
$\Delta T_i$ = equivalent torque range for condition \"i\"
$N_i$ = number of load cycles for condition \"i\".

The equivalent torque range is defined for R=0. $\Delta T_i$ is calculated according to the following equation:

$$\Delta T_i = 2 \cdot A_i / (1 - M_i/UT + A_i/UT)$$
\[ UT = \text{ultimate torsional strength of the central section as measured in the static test.} \]

**Guidance note:**
The equation is based on the assumption that the fatigue strength of the component can be described by a straight line in a Haigh-diagram where the line intersects the x-axis (mean load) at UT.

---end---of---guidance---note---

**Definition of safety margin:**
The safety margin applied in the fatigue test is composed of two elements:
1) to account for possible sequence effects from the service fatigue load history
2) to ensure an adequate reliability of the shaft with respect to fatigue failure.

**Guidance note:**
A composite shaft shall have the same reliability with respect to fatigue failure as the corresponding steel shaft.

---end---of---guidance---note---

To account for the first requirement the factor \( F_1 \) is set to \( F_1 = 5 \).
To account for the second requirement the factor \( F_2 \) is set to \( F_2 = 10^{2 \cdot \log(\sigma)} \) where \( \log(\sigma) \) is equal to the standard deviation of the logarithm of the fatigue life. In lack of more precise information \( \log(\sigma) \) can be set equal to 0.4. (\( \log(x) \) corresponds to the 10-base logarithm.)

\( F_1 \cdot F_2 \) shall not be taken smaller than 32.

**Definition of minimum required fatigue curves:**
For each condition “i” calculate \( m_i \) and \( C_i \) according to the following equation:

\[
\begin{align*}
  m_i &= \frac{[\log(N_i) + \log(F_1) + \log(F_2)] - [\log(UT) - \log(\Delta T_i) \cdot \log(\sigma) + \log(\Delta T_i)]}{\log(UT) - \log(\Delta T_i)} \\
  C_i &= UT^{m_i}
\end{align*}
\]

**Guidance note:**
It is assumed that the fatigue strength of the component can be represented by the following expression (i.e. a linear representation in a log-log-diagram): \( N = C \cdot \Delta T^m \).

---end---of---guidance---note---

Determine the required fatigue curve:

\[
\begin{align*}
  m &= \max_i (m_i) \\
  C &= \max_i (C_i)
\end{align*}
\]

**Fatigue damages:**
Calculate the fatigue damage for each condition “i”:

\[
D_i = \frac{N_i}{C \cdot \Delta T_i^m}
\]

Calculate the total fatigue damage and relative fatigue damages:

\[
\begin{align*}
  D_{\text{total}} &= \sum_i D_i & \text{total fatigue damage} \\
  d_i &= D_i / D_{\text{total}} & \text{relative fatigue damage for condition “i”}
\end{align*}
\]

**Guidance note:**
It is assumed that linear damage accumulation (Miner’s Rule) is representative.

---e-n-d---o-f---g-u-i-d-a-n-c-e---n-o-t-e---

**Fatigue test condition:**

Determine the fatigue test condition $\Delta T_{\text{test}}$ and $N_{\text{test}}$ such that the following two conditions are satisfied:

$$N_{\text{test}} = C \cdot \Delta T_{\text{test}}^{-m} / \max_i(\delta_i)$$

$$2 \cdot \min_i(A_i) \leq \Delta T_{\text{test}} \leq \text{torque at onset of matrix cracking} \quad (3)$$

**Instrumentation:**

The following instrumentation shall be included:

- equipment for continuously measuring the torque with an uncertainty < 5%
- equipment for continuously measuring the twist between the end flanges with an uncertainty to be agreed in each case
- equipment for continuous (or equivalent) logging of torque and twist.

It is recommended that additional equipment such as e.g. strain gauges are included to gain further information regarding the performance of the shaft and to verify the design calculations.

**Test environment**

The test shall be carried out in a temperature within the range 22 ± 5°C and with a relative humidity within the range 35 – 90% unless otherwise agreed.

**Test procedure**

The specimen shall be loaded in pure torsion.

The following sequence shall be followed:

1) the shaft shall be loaded to extreme torque and the load released three times. The torque shall be increased/decreased monotonously with a rate not exceeding the nominal torque/60 pr second

2) the torsional stiffness is measured

3) fatigue test at the following conditions:

   - range of torque: $\Delta T_{\text{test}}$
   - R-ratio: $\leq 0.05$
   - number of load cycles: the larger of $N_{\text{test}}$ or $5 \cdot 10^6$ load cycles, or to failure.

4) the torsional stiffness shall be measured at $N_{\text{test}}$.

During sequence 3 the equipment for measurement of twist may be disconnected.

**Acceptance criteria:**

In case the number of load cycles to failure $N_{\text{fail}} > N_{\text{test}}$ the test result is acceptable.

In case the shaft fails at $N_{\text{fail}} < N_{\text{test}}$ an additional fatigue test shall be carried out. The mean value of the log($N_{\text{fail}}$) for the two tests shall be larger than log($N_{\text{test}}$).

---3 as determined by the design calculations---
In case the shaft fails at a number of load cycles \( N_{\text{fail}} < N_{\text{test}}/10^{2 \cdot \log(\sigma)} \) the test result is unacceptable.

No failure signifies that no failures or damages of any kind are observed on the FRP central section or in the bonds between central sections and end flanges after completion of the test. After completion of the test the bonds on the shaft shall be inspected carefully such that it can be ascertained that no damages to the bonds have occurred. Normally this will mean that the bond have to be cut through the thickness at least 4 locations around the circumference of the bond such that the bond line is exposed for inspection.
 SECTION 8 DOCUMENTATION REQUIRED FOR EACH DELIVERY

1 Proof testing
All shafts and couplings shall be torque tested to 1.5 times the peak torque before delivery.
If adequate QA and QC procedures are available and implemented the requirement to proof testing of some or all of the delivered items may be waived. Such QA and QC procedures and their implementation shall be accepted by the Society prior to start of manufacture.

2 Design documentation
Design analysis as specified in this class programme shall be documented and filed for each design and shall be made available to the Society on request.

3 Requirements to production and quality control arrangement
The manufacturer should have a quality system that meets ISO 9001 standards, or equivalent. If this quality standard is not fulfilled, the extent of type testing and assessments will be specially considered.
The quality control arrangement shall include all activities and parameters relevant for the quality of the end product. As a minimum the following items shall be considered:
— design and calculation procedures and methods
— documentation of design
— control of incoming materials
— test equipment, test methods, test samples and reference to standards used
— fabrication procedures
— cure cycles
— traceability and marking systems
— production logs and test reports.
SECTION 9 REQUIREMENTS FOR MARKING OF PRODUCT

1 General
The pipes and fittings shall be marked. The marking shall at least include the following information:
— manufacturer's name and/or logo
— type designation
— materials
— size/dimensions
— date of fabrication and/or serial number.

The marking shall be carried out in such a way that it is visible, legible and indelible. The marking of product shall enable traceability to the Society’s type approval certificate.
Driven by our purpose of safeguarding life, property and the environment, DNV GL enables organizations to advance the safety and sustainability of their business. We provide classification and technical assurance along with software and independent expert advisory services to the maritime, oil and gas, and energy industries. We also provide certification services to customers across a wide range of industries. Operating in more than 100 countries, our 16 000 professionals are dedicated to helping our customers make the world safer, smarter and greener.