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SECTION 1 GENERAL

1 Preamble
This class guideline applies for non-destructive testing using the following methods:
— eddy current testing
— magnetic particle testing
— penetrant testing
— radiographic testing
— ultrasonic testing
— visual testing.

In general, this class guideline shall be adhered to, as far as applicable, when non-destructive testing is required by the Society. The use of other standards or specifications may, however, be granted if an equivalent testing procedure is ensured or is more fit for the purpose.

Whenever acceptance criteria are defined in the rules, approved drawings, IACS recommendations or other agreed product standards, these criteria are mandatory. If no acceptance criteria are defined, acceptance criteria specified in this class guideline may be applied.

The definitions and requirements stated below may satisfy the need of a written procedure. Where this is not the case, or where the techniques described in this class guideline are not applicable to the object to be examined, additional written procedures shall be used and accepted by the Society before the testing is carried out.

2 References
This class guideline incorporates references from other publications. These normative and informative references are cited at the appropriate places in the text and constitute provisions of this class guideline. Latest edition of the publications shall be used unless otherwise agreed. Other recognised publications may be used provided it can be shown that they meet or exceed the requirements for the publications referenced below.

2.1 Normative references

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1 Normative references</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EN ISO 17636-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EN ISO 17636-2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EN ISO 5579</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EN ISO 19232</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EN ISO 15626</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EN ISO 10863</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EN ISO 17637</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EN ISO 11699</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standard</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ISO 5580</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ISO 17643</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EN ISO 15549</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EN ISO 17635</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EN ISO 23277</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EN ISO 16828</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EN ISO 23278</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EN ISO 5817</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EN ISO 10042</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EN 1330</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ISO 15548</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ISO 17638</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ISO 9934-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EN 10160</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EN ISO 9712</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EN ISO 11666</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ISO 23279</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EN ISO 17640</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EN 12668</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EN ISO 3059</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IACS rec. no. 68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EN ISO 12706</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ISO 14096-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IACS rec. no. 69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EN ISO 16811</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standard</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EN 10228</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EN ISO 13588</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ISO 4993</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### 2.2 Informative references

#### Table 2 Informative references

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ASTM A609 / A609M-12</td>
<td>Standard Practice for Castings, Carbon, Low-Alloy, and Martensitic Stainless Steel, Ultrasonic Examination Thereof</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Standard Practice for Castings, Carbon, Low-Alloy, and Martensitic Stainless Steel, Ultrasonic Examination</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASTM A388 / A388M-11</td>
<td>Standard Practice for Ultrasonic Examination of Steel Forgings</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASTM E1316</td>
<td>Standard Terminology for Non-destructive Examinations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SNT-TC-1A</td>
<td>Personnel Qualification and Certification in Nondestructive Testing</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 3 Definitions and symbols

The following definitions apply:

- **Testing**: Testing or examination of a material or component in accordance with this class guideline, or a standard, or a specification or a procedure in order to detect, locate, measure and evaluate flaws
- **Defect**: One or more flaws whose aggregate size, shape, orientation, location or properties do not meet specified requirements will be rejected
- **Discontinuity**: A lack of continuity or cohesion; an intentional or unintentional interruption in the physical structure or configuration of a material or component
- **Flaw**: An imperfection or discontinuity that may be detectable by non-destructive testing will not necessarily be rejected
- **Indication**: Evidence of a discontinuity that requires interpretation to determine its significance
- **False indication**: An indication that is interpreted to be caused by a discontinuity at a location where no discontinuity exists
- **Non relevant indication**: An indication that is caused by a condition or type of discontinuity will not be rejected. False indications are non-relevant
- **Imperfections**: A departure of a quality characteristic from its intended condition
- **Internal imperfection**: Imperfection that is not open to a surface or not directly accessible.
- **Quality level**: Fixed limits of imperfections corresponding to the expected quality in a specific object. The limits are determined with regard to type of imperfection, their amount and their actual dimensions
- **Acceptance level**: Prescribed limits below which a component is accepted
- **Planar discontinuity**: Discontinuity having two measurable dimensions
- **Non-planar discontinuity**: Discontinuity having three measurable dimensions.

The following definitions relevant to MT or PT indications apply:

- **Linear indication**: An indication in which the length is at least three times the width
- **Nonlinear indication**: An indication of circular or elliptical shape with a length less than three times the width
- **Aligned indication**: Three or more indications in a line, separated by 2 mm or less edge-to-edge
- **Open indication**: An indication visible after removal of the magnetic particles or that can be detected by the use of contrast dye penetrant
- **Non-open indication**: An indication that is not visually detectable after removal of the magnetic particles or that cannot be detected by the use of contrast dye penetrant
- **Relevant indication**: An indication that is caused by a condition or type of discontinuity that requires evaluation. Only indications which have any dimension greater than 1.5 mm shall be considered relevant.
3.1 Abbreviations

- **ET** = eddy current testing
- **ACFM** = alternating current field measurement
- **MT** = magnetic particle testing
- **PT** = penetrant testing
- **RT** = radiographic testing
- **UT** = ultrasonic testing
- **VT** = visual testing
- **PAUT** = phased Array
- **DR** = digital Radiography
- **TOFD** = time-of-flight diffraction
- **AUT** = automated ultrasonic testing
- **HAZ** = heat affected zone
- **WPS** = welding Procedure Specification
- **TMCP** = thermo mechanically controlled processed
- **NDT** = non-destructive testing.

4 Safety

International, national and local safety and environmental protection regulation shall be observed at all times.

5 Personnel qualifications

All testing shall be carried out by qualified and certified personnel. The NDT operators and the supervisors shall be certified according to a 3rd party certification scheme based on EN ISO 9712 or ASNT Central Certification Program (ACCP). SNT-TC-1A may be accepted if the NDT company’s written practice is reviewed and accepted by the Society. The Supplier’s written practice shall as a minimum, except for the impartiality requirements of a certification body and/or authorised body, comply with EN ISO 9712. The certificate shall clearly state the qualifications as to which testing method, level and within which industrial sector the operator is certified.

NDT operators shall be certified at minimum Level 2 in the testing method and industrial sector concerned. Supervisors shall, unless otherwise agreed, be certified Level 3 in the testing method and industrial sector concerned.

The supervisor shall be available for scheduling and monitoring the performed NDT. The supervisor shall be available for developing, verifying and/or approving the NDT procedures in use and make sure these procedures are in compliance with the rules.

As a minimum the following applies:

**Level 1**

An individual certificated to Level 1 has demonstrated competence to carry out NDT according to written instructions and under the supervision of level 2 or 3 personnel. Within the scope of the competence defined on the certificate, level 1 personnel may be authorised to:

- set up NDT equipment
- perform the test
- record and classify the results of the tests in terms of written criteria
- report the results
— Level 1 certificated personnel shall not be responsible for the choice of test method or technique to be used, nor for the assessment of the test results.

Level 2
An individual certificated to Level 2 has demonstrated competence to perform non-destructive testing according to established or recognised procedures. Within the scope of the competence defined on the certificate, level 2 personnel may be authorised to:
— select the NDT technique for the test method to be used
— define the limitations of application of the testing method
— translate NDT standards and specifications into NDT instructions
— set up and verify equipment settings
— perform and supervise tests
— interpret and evaluate results according to applicable standards, codes or specifications
— prepare written NDT instructions
— carry out and to supervise all level 1 duties.

Level 3
An individual certificated to Level 3 has demonstrated competence to perform and direct non-destructive testing operations for which he is certificated. An individual certificated to level 3 may:
— assume full responsibility for a test facility or examination centre and staff
— establish and validate NDT instructions and procedures
— interpret standards, codes, specifications and procedures
— designate the particular test methods, procedures and NDT instructions to be used
— carry out and to supervise all level 1 and 2 duties.

Procedures and techniques shall be established by competent personnel and be either verified or approved by personnel certified to Level 3 in the applicable NDT method.

The operator shall provide evidence of satisfactory vision. The near vision acuity shall permit reading a minimum of Jaeger number 1 or Times Roman N 4.5 or equivalent letters at not less than 300 mm with one or both eyes, either corrected or uncorrected. In addition the colour vision shall be sufficient that the operator can distinguish and differentiate contrast between the colours used in the NDT method concerned as specified by the employer. The documented test of visual acuity shall be carried out at least annually.

Site test/mock-up test is recommended carried out. For special methods such as ToFD, DR, PAUT, AUT, UT of austenitic stainless steel/duplex materials site test should be carried out. The site test/mock-up tests have both the purpose of qualifying the procedure and also verify the technicians’ ability to apply the technique in a proper way.

6 Information required prior to testing
Before carrying out non-destructive testing, the following items, if applicable, shall be agreed between the manufacturer and the Society.
— specific testing procedure, if required
— extent of testing
— testing plan
— testing equipment
— calibration of the equipment
— calibration and reference blocks
— acceptance level
— actions necessary for unacceptable indications.

Prior to testing, the following information is usually required:
— manufacturing method (weld, casting, forging, rolled product, etc.)
— heat treatment
— grade of parent material
— welding parameters and conditions used to make the weld
— location and extent of welds to be tested
— weld surface
— geometry.
— coating type and thickness.
— casting details
— forging details
— rolling directions

Operators may ask for further information that will be helpful in determining the nature of discontinuities.

7 Extent of testing

The extent of testing shall be given in the relevant parts of the rules or drawings or as agreed between the manufacturer and the Society.

The extent of NDT shall be increased if repeated occurrence of cracks or other significant weld defects are revealed. Corrective actions shall be taken to ensure that all similar defects will be detected.

All welds shall be 100% visually tested prior to carrying out other NDT by an operator certified in accordance with requirements given in Sec.7 (Visual Testing).

8 Materials

This class guideline is applicable for testing of castings, forgings, rolled materials and fusion welds in the following materials, their alloys and combinations:
— steel
— aluminium
— copper
— nickel
— titanium.

The use of this class guideline for other metallic materials shall be approved case by case.

9 Selection of testing method

Selection of NDT-method is shown in Table 3.

Table 3 Selection of testing method

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NDT method</th>
<th>Materials</th>
<th>Clad</th>
<th>Plate</th>
<th>Weld</th>
<th>Castings</th>
<th>Forgings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>VT</td>
<td>All</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ferromagnetic C and C-Mn/ Alloy/ Duplex 1)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MT</td>
<td>Aluminium/ Cu-Alloys/ SS/ Duplex 2)</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### 10 Time of testing

If not otherwise specified in the applicable rules, the following applies:

— when heat treatment is performed, the final NDT shall be carried out when all heat treatments have been completed
— for steel grades with minimum yield strength of 420 N/mm² (e.g. VL 420 grades and higher), final inspection and NDT shall not be carried out before 48 hours after completion.

### 11 Final report

All NDT shall be properly documented in such a way that the performed testing can be easily retraced at a later stage. The reports shall identify the unacceptable defects present in the tested area, and a conclusive statement as to whether the weld satisfies the acceptance criteria or not.

The report shall include a reference to the applicable standard, NDT procedure and acceptance criteria.

In addition, as a minimum, the following information shall be given:

— object and drawing references
— place and date of examination
— material type and dimensions
— post weld heat treatment, if required
— location of examined areas, type of joint
— welding process used
— name of the company and operator carrying out the testing including certification level of the operator
— surface conditions
— temperature of the object
— number of repairs if specific area repaired twice or more
— contract requirements e.g. order no., specifications, special agreements etc.
— sketch, photograph, photocopy, video, written description showing location and information regarding detected defects
— extent of testing
— test equipment used
— description of the parameters used for each method
— description and location of all recordable indications
— examination results with reference to acceptance level
— signatures (ordinary signatures or electronic signatures) of personnel responsible for the testing.

Other information related to the specific method may be listed under each method. The report shall be such that there is no doubt about what is tested, where it has been carried out and give a clear and exact description of reportable defect location.
SECTION 2 EDDY CURRENT

1 Scope

This section defines eddy current testing techniques (ET) for detection of surface breaking and near surface planar defects in:

— welds
— heat affected zone
— parent material.

ET can be applied on coated and uncoated objects and the testing can be carried out on all accessible surfaces on welds of almost any configuration.

For other applications than weld testing, it is recommended that eddy current testing is done according to EN ISO 15549.

Usually, it can be applied in the as-welded condition. However, a very rough surface may prevent an efficient testing.

The eddy current testing method includes also alternating current field measurement (ACFM). If this method is applied, written procedures shall be established according to recognised standards and are subjected for approval by the Society before the testing starts.

2 Definitions

In addition to definitions given in Sec.1 [3] the following applies:

— Balance: Compensation of the signal, corresponding to the operating point, to achieve a predetermined value, for example zero point
— Impedance plane diagram: Graphical representation of the focus points, indicating the variation in the impedance of a test coil as a function of the test parameters
— Noise: Any unwanted signal which can corrupt the measurement
— Phase reference: Direction in the complex plane display chosen as the origin for the phase measurement
— Probe: Eddy current transducer. Physical device containing excitation elements and receiving elements
— Lift off: Geometrical effect produced by changes in the distance between the probe and the product to be tested.
3 Personnel qualifications
See Sec.1 [5] Personnel qualification

4 Information required (prior to testing)

5 Surface conditions
Depending on the sensitivity requirements, the eddy current method is able to detect surface cracks through non-metallic coating up to 2 mm thickness. Coating thickness in excess may be considered if the relevant sensitivity is maintained.

Excessive weld spatters, scale, rust and damaged paint can influence sensitivity by separating the probe (lift off) from the test object and shall be removed before the inspection.

It shall also be noted some types of coating, such as zinc primers, could seriously influence the results as they can deposit electrical conductive metallic material in all cracks open to the surface.

Normally, zinc rich shop primer used for corrosion protection (typical thickness max. 30 µm) will not influence the testing.

6 Equipment

6.1 Instrument
The instrument used for the testing described in this class guideline shall at least have the following features:

6.1.1 Frequency
The instrument shall be able to operate at the frequency range from 1 kHz to 1 MHz.

6.1.2 Gain/noise
After compensation (lift off), a 1 mm deep artificial defect shall be indicated as a full screen deflection through a coating thickness corresponding to the maximum expected on the object to be tested.

Further, a 0.5 mm deep artificial defect shall be indicated through the same coating thickness by a minimum noise/signal ratio of 1 to 3.

Both requirements shall apply to the chosen probe and shall be verified on a relevant calibration block.

6.1.3 Evaluation mode
The evaluation mode uses both phase analysis and amplitude analysis of vector traced to the complex plane display. Evaluation may be by comparison of this display with reference data previously stored.

6.1.4 Signal display
As a minimum, the signal display shall be a complex plane display with the facility to freeze data on the screen until reset by the operator. The trace shall be clearly visible under all lighting conditions during the testing.

6.1.5 Phase control
The phase control shall be able to give complete rotation in steps of no more than 10° each.
6.2 Probes

6.2.1 Probes for measuring thickness of coating
The probe shall be capable of providing a full screen deflection lift-off signal on the instrument when moved from an uncoated spot on a calibration block to a spot covered with the maximum coating thickness expected on the object to be tested. The probe shall operate in the frequency range from 1 kHz to 1 MHz. The probes shall be clearly marked with their operating frequency range. Typical absolute probes to be used are shielded 200 kHz and 500 kHz.

6.2.2 Probes for weld testing
For testing of welds, probes specially designed for this purpose shall be used. The probe assembly shall be differential, orthogonal, tangential or equivalent which is characterised by having a minimal dependency on variations in conductivity, permeability and lift off in welded and heat-affected zones.

The diameter of the probe shall be selected relative to the geometry of the component under test. Such probes shall be able to operate when covered by a thin layer on non-metallic wear-resistant material over the active face. If the probe is used with a cover, than the cover shall always be in place during the calibration. The probe shall operate at a selected frequency in the range from 100 kHz to 1 MHz.

6.3 Accessories

6.3.1 Calibration block
A calibration block, of the same type of the material as the component to be tested shall be used. It shall have EDM (electric discharge machined) notches of 0.5, 1.0 and 2.0 mm depth, unless otherwise agreed between contracting parties. Tolerance of notch depth shall be ± 0.1 mm. Recommended width of notch shall be 0.2 mm.

6.3.2 Non-metallic sheets
Non-metallic flexible strips of a known thickness to simulate the coating or actual coatings on the calibration block shall be used.

It is recommended that non-metallic flexible strips be multiples of 0.5 mm thickness.

6.3.3 Probe extension cables
Extension cables may only be used between the probe and the instrument if the function, sensitivity and the resolution of the whole system can be maintained.

6.4 Systematic equipment maintenance
The equipment shall be checked and adjusted on a periodic basis for correct functioning in accordance with standard ISO 15548 - all parts. This shall only include such measurements or adjustments, which can be made from the outside of the equipment. Electronic adjustments shall be carried out in case of device faults or partial deterioration or as a minimum on an annual basis. It shall follow a written procedure. The results of maintenance checks shall be recorded. Records shall be filed by owner.

7 Testing

7.1 General information for coating thickness
The coating thickness on the un-machined surface is never constant. However, it will influence the sensitivity of crack detection. The lift off signal obtain from the object to be tested shall be similar to the signal obtain from the calibration block, i.e. it shall be within 5° either side of the reference signal. In the event that the signal is out of this range, a calibration block more representative of the material to be tested shall be produced/manufactured.
7.1.1 Calibration
- Select frequency to desired value between 1 kHz and 1 MHz, depending on probe design, for instance a broad band pencil probe 80 kHz - 300 kHz set at 100 kHz
- Place the probe on the uncovered calibration block away from slots and balance the equipment
- Use the X- and Y-controls to adjust the position of the spot until it is on the right hand side of the screen. Move the probe on and off the calibration block. Adjust the phase angle control until the movement of the spot is horizontal
- Place the probe on the uncovered calibration block ensuring it is not close to any of the slots. Repeat this on the same spot of the block now covered with 0.5, 1.0 and 1.5 mm non-metallic sheets
- Note the different signal amplitudes, see Figure 8.

7.1.2 Measuring of coating thickness
- Balance the equipment on an uncoated spot on the test object
- Place the probe on selected spots adjacent to the weld or area to be tested. Note the signal amplitudes
- The thickness of the coating can be estimated by interpolation between the signal amplitudes from the known thicknesses, see Figure 9
- The estimated coating thickness shall be recorded.

7.2 Testing of welds in Ferritic materials
7.2.1 Frequency
The frequency shall be chosen according to the material (conductivity, permeability), the defect (type, location, size) and the probe design. It is suggested to use a frequency around 100 kHz.

7.2.2 Probes
For testing of ferritic welds, probes specially designed for this purpose shall be used. They are usually of the differential coil type, which is characterised by having a minimal influence on variations in conductivity, permeability and lift-off in the welded and heat-affected zones. Such probes may further be designed for use on rather uneven surfaces as often found in welds on steel structures (regarding use of protective covers on probes, see [6.2.2]).

7.2.3 Calibration
Calibration is performed by passing the probe over the notches in the calibration block. See Figure 7. The notched surface shall first be covered by non-metallic flexible strips having a thickness equal to or greater than the measured coating thickness.

The equipment sensitivity is adjusted to give increasing signals from increasing notch depths. The 1 mm deep notch shall give signal amplitude of approximately 80% of full screen height. The sensitivity levels shall then be adjusted to compensate for object geometry.

Calibration check shall be performed periodically and at least at the beginning and the end of the shift and after every change in working conditions.

When the calibration is complete it is recommended the balance is adjusted to the centre of the display.

Calibration procedure:
- select frequency to 100 kHz
- balance the equipment with the probe in air
- use the X- and Y- controls to adjust the spot position to the centre of the screen (X-axis) and minimum one and a half screen divisions above the bottom line (Y-axis), ensuring that no noise signal is fully displayed on the screen
- place the probe on the uncovered calibration block ensuring it is not close to any of the slots. Balance the equipment
- to obtain a correct defect display, run the probe over the 2.0 mm deep slot. Care should be taken that the longitudinal axis of the probe is kept parallel to the slot and the scanning direction is at right angles to the
slot. Indications from the slot will appear on the screen. The phase angle control is in the vertical upwards direction
— the sensitivity level shall be adjusted to compensate for the coating thickness measured under [7.1.2] using the following procedure:
— place the non-metallic sheets of the actual thickness corresponding to the measured coating thickness on the calibration block, or the nearest higher thickness of the non-metallic sheets
— place the probe on the covered calibration block, ensuring it is not close to any of the slots and balance the equipment
— run the probe over the 1.0 mm deep slot. Adjust the gain (dB) control until the signal amplitude from the slot is in 80% of full screen height.

7.2.4 Scanning
The weld surface and 25 mm of each side of the weld (including the heat-affected zones) shall be scanned with the chosen probe(s). As far as the geometry of the test objects permits, the probe shall be moved in directions perpendicular to the main direction of the expected indications. If this is unknown, or if indications in different directions are expected, at least two probe runs shall be carried out, one perpendicular to the other.
The testing can be split into two parts: the heat affected zones (25 mm each side of the weld) see Figure 1, Figure 2, Figure 3 and the weld surface see Figure 4.
It shall be noted that the reliability of the testing is highly dependent on the probe relative to the surface (weld) under test. Care shall also be taken to ensure that the probe is at the optimum angle to meet the varying surface conditions in the heat affected zone.
For probes of differential coil type, the sensitivity is affected by the orientation of the imperfection relative to the coil. Therefore, care shall be taken that this also is controlled during the testing.

Guidance note:
Especially defects with an orientation of 45° to the main direction of the probe movement can be difficult to detect.

---e-n-d---o-f---g-u-i-d-a-n-c-e---n-o-t-e---

7.2.5 Detectability of imperfections
The ability to detect imperfections depends on many factors.
Some recommendations are made below to take account of the limiting factors which affect indications detectability.
— Material of calibration block:
  Testing of metalized welds/components require equivalent calibration blocks and established calibration procedures
— Conductive coatings:
  Conductive coatings reduce the sensitivity of the test. The maximum coating thickness shall also be reduced and depending on the conductivity
— Non-conductive coatings:
  Non-conductive coatings reduce the sensitivity of the test depending on the distance between the probe and the test object
— Geometry of the object:
  The shape of the object and the access of the probe to the area under test reduce the sensitivity of the test. Complex weld geometries such as cruciform and gusset plates shall be tested relative to the complex geometry and possible orientation of the indications
— Orientation of coils to the indication:
  Directional induced current; the induced current is directional, therefore care shall be taken to ensure that the orientation of current is perpendicular and/or parallel to the expected indication position
— Inclination:
  care shall be taken to ensure the optimum angle of the coils relative to the area under test is maintained
Minimum size of indication:
The minimum size of indication that the eddy current method is capable to detect in ferritic steel weld in the "as welded" conditions is 1 mm deep × 5 mm long.

7.3 Procedure for examination of welds in other materials
As previous stated, the eddy current method is also applicable to welds in other materials such as aluminium, duplex, stainless steels and titanium.

The procedure for testing of such welds shall generally include the same items as in [7.2] but the choice of frequency, probes, calibration and scanning patterns shall be optimised to the actual materials, and may deviate considerably from what is recommended for ferritic materials.

Therefore, the testing shall be based on practical experience with suitable equipment and probes, and shall be shown in a specific procedure.

7.3.1 Detectability of imperfections
This part of the class guideline is based on a sensitivity level of detecting an imperfection producing a response equal to the signal amplitude from a 2 mm deep slot with scanning pattern ensuring that 10 mm or longer defects can be found.

However, the ability to detect an imperfection depends on many factors and the present knowledge of Eddy Current method applied to welded components does not allow proposing precise criteria, they shall be fixed and agreed between contracting parties as standard rule. If an amplitude response equal to 50% of amplitude response found in scanning, the 2.0 mm notch of the calibration block used, the corresponding indication is considered as a potential defect and the relevant acceptance levels for MT or PT may apply.

8 Acceptance Criteria
Whenever acceptance criteria are defined in the rules, approved drawings, IACS Recommendations or other agreed product standards, these criteria are mandatory.

If acceptance criteria are not defined, evaluation criteria in [9] should be used. This is provided a sensitivity adjustment for welds in ferritic steel of 80% of FSH from the 1.0 mm deep slot in the reference block.

9 Evaluation of indications
An indication is defined as an area displaying an abnormal signal compared to that expected from that area of the object under test.

In the event of a non-acceptable indication being noted (see Figure 5), a further investigation of the area is requested, e.g. by using magnetic particle testing.

A longitudinal scan shall be performed and the length of the indication noted.

Where possible a single pass scan along the length of the indication shall be performed to obtain the signal amplitude. The maximum amplitude shall be noted (see Figure 6). This is provided a sensitivity adjustment for welds in ferritic steel of 80% of FSH from the 1.0 mm deep slot in the reference block.

If there is a need for further clarification or when the removal of an indication shall be verified, it is requested that the testing is supplemented with other non-destructive testing methods like magnetic particle testing (MT) or penetrant testing (PT).

Where a non-acceptable indication is noted, but no depth information is possible alternative NDT method such as ultrasonic and/or Alternating Current Potential Drop techniques shall be used to determine the depth and orientation of the indication.

10 Reporting
In addition to the items listed under Sec.1 [11] Final report the following shall be included in the eddy current report:
— probes, type and frequency
— phase, e.g. 180° and/or 360°
— identification of reference blocks used
— calibration report
— reporting level, if different from acceptance level.

Figure 1 First scan of heat affected zones - Probe movement almost perpendicular to weld axis

Figure 2 Probe angle (at scans shown in Figure 1 shall be adjusted to meet varying surface conditions)
Figure 3 Recommended additional scans of heat affected zones - Probe movement parallel to the weld axis

Guidance note:
Both scanning patterns in Figure 1 and Figure 3 are mainly for longitudinal defects. Therefore, the probe orientation shall always be in position giving maximum sensitivity for the defect direction.

---e-n-d---o-f---g-u-i-d-a-n-c-e---n-o-t-e---

Figure 4 Scan of weld surface - Transverse/longitudinal scanning technique to be used relative to weld surface condition
Figure 5 Defect evaluation using transversal scanning techniques

Figure 6 Defect evaluation using single pass longitudinal technique in heat affected zones
Figure 7 Calibration on notches

Figure 8 Coating thickness measurement (Calibration procedure. Vertical shift adjustment between readings)
Figure 9 Coating Thickness Measurement. (Vertical shift adjustment between readings)
SECTION 3 MAGNETIC PARTICLE TESTING

1 Magnetic particle testing on welds

1.1 Scope
This part of the class guideline specifies magnetic particle testing techniques for the detection of surface imperfections in ferromagnetic welds including the heat affecting zones using the continuous wet or dry method. It can also detect imperfections just below the surface, but its sensitivity reduced rapidly with depth. If such imperfections shall be detected with high reliability, additional inspection methods shall be used. Techniques recommended are suitable for most welding processes and joint configurations.

1.2 Definitions and symbols
See Sec.1 [3] Definitions and symbols

1.3 Information required (prior to testing)
See Sec.1 [6] Information required prior to testing.

1.4 Personnel qualifications
See Sec.1 [5] Personnel qualification

1.5 Magnetizing

1.5.1 Equipment and method
An overview and description of typical magnetizing equipment is given in Table 1

Table 1 Equipment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Technique</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Magnets</td>
<td>AC - Electro- magnets are placed on the component producing a magnetic field in the component between the poles to inspect subsurface defects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DC - Electro- magnets are placed on the component producing a magnetic field in the component between the poles to inspect subsurface defects</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current flow with electrical terminals or prods</td>
<td>The current flowing through the component induces a magnetic field.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Threading cable</td>
<td>An electric cable (or cables) is passed through the bore or aperture of a component and the current flowing through the cable induces a magnetic field in the component.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rigid Coil</td>
<td>The component is placed within a current carrying coil and a magnetic field parallel to the axis of the coil is induced in the component.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flexible cable</td>
<td>A current carrying cable is wound around or laid across a component inducing a magnetic field in the component.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Unless otherwise agreed the following types of alternate current-magnetising equipment shall be used:
— AC electromagnetic yoke
— current flow equipment with prods
— adjacent or threading conductors or coil techniques.

The magnetising equipment used shall comply with the requirements of relevant International or national standards.

Where prods are used, precautions shall be taken to minimise overheating, burning or arcing at the contact tips. Removal of arc burns shall be carried out where necessary. The affected area shall be tested by a suitable method to ensure the integrity of the surface. The prod tips should be steel or aluminium to avoid copper deposit on the part being tested. Prods should not be used on machined surfaces.

The cleanliness of both prod contact faces and the component shall be such as to ensure good electrical contact. The contact faces of the prods, or pads, if fitted, shall be inspected before each application of current.

Where there is evidence of burning or other damage, the prods shall be refaced, or the pads renewed.

The arrangement used for attaching pads to prod ends shall ensure that they are held firmly in position without distortion.

The current shall not be switched on until adequate contact pressure has been achieved. The contact pressure shall not be released until the current is switched off.

When black light is used the black light must be capable of developing the required wavelengths of 330 to 390 nm with intensity at the examination surface of not less than 1000 μW/cm² when measured with a suitable calibrated black light meter.

a) Use of alternating current magnetization

The use of alternating current gives the best sensitivity for detecting surface imperfections. Preferably, alternating current, AC electromagnetic yoke shall be used. Each AC electromagnetic yoke shall have a lifting force of at least 44 N lifting a weight of 4.5 kg (10 lb.) at the maximum pole space that will be used.

The pole of the magnet shall have close contact with the component.

b) Use of direct current magnetization

Each DC electromagnetic yoke shall have a lifting force of at least 175 N lifting a weight of 18 kg (40 lb.) at the maximum pole space that will be used.

Unless otherwise agreed, use of DC magnets shall be avoided, due to limitation of the different equipment and the difficulty to obtain sufficient magnetic field/strength for several configurations for surface imperfections.

c) Use of permanent magnets

Use of permanent magnets are not allowed at all, due to limitation of the different equipment and the difficulty to obtain sufficient magnetic field/strength for several configurations for surface imperfections.

1.5.2 Verification of magnetization

The adequacy of the surface flux density shall be established by one or more of the following methods:

— by using a component containing fine natural or artificial discontinuities in the least favourable locations
— by measuring the tangential field strength as close as possible to the surface using a Hall effect probe the appropriate tangential field strength can be difficult to measure close to abrupt changes in the shape of a component, or where flux leaves the surface of a component, relevant for other techniques than yoke technique
— by calculation of the approximate tangential field strength. The basis for the calculations are the electrical current values specified in Table 4 and Table 5
— by verification of lifting force on material similar to test object
— other methods based on established principles.

Guidance note:

Flux indicators, placed in contact with the surfaces under examination, can provide a guide to magnitude and direction of the tangential field, but should not be used to verify that the field strength is acceptable.
1.6 Overall performance test

Before testing begins, a test to check the overall performance of the testing shall be done. The test shall be designed to ensure a proper functioning of the entire chain of parameters including equipment, the magnetic field strength and direction, surface characteristics, detecting media and illumination.

The most reliable test shall use representative test pieces containing real imperfections of known type, location, size and size-distribution e.g. Quantitative Quality Indicators (QQI) or “Castrol" strips type II. Where these are not available, fabricated test pieces with artificial imperfections, of flux shunting indicators of the cross or shim type may be used. The test pieces shall be demagnetized and free from indications resulting from previous tests.

1.7 Preparation of surfaces

Satisfactory results are usually obtained when the surfaces are in the as-welded, as-rolled, as-cast or as-forged conditions. However, surface preparation by grinding or machining may be necessary where surface irregularities could mask indications.

Prior to testing the surface shall be free from scale, oil, grease, weld spatter, machining marks, dirt, heavy and loose paint and any other foreign matter that can affect the sensitivity. It can be necessary to improve the surface condition e.g. by abrasive paper or local grinding to permit accurate interpretation of indications.

When testing of welds is required, the surface and all adjacent areas within 25 mm shall be prepared as described above.

There shall be a good visual contrast between the indications and the surface under test. For non-fluorescent technique, it may be necessary to apply a uniform thin, adherent layer of contrast paint. The total thickness of any paint layers shall normally not exceed 50 µm.

1.8 Testing

1.8.1 Application techniques

Control parameters and limiting values for magnetic particle testing is given in Table 2.

Table 2 Overview of inspection parameters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Inspection-parameter</th>
<th>Control device</th>
<th>Limits / Values</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Magnetic field strength</td>
<td>Magnetic field strength meter</td>
<td>2 kA/m up to 6.5 kA/m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Magnetic field direction</td>
<td>Magnetic field strength meter</td>
<td>minimum angle between field direction and crack direction 30°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Magnetic field indicator Berthold testing device &quot;Castrol&quot; strips type II QQI KS 230</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UV A - radiation</td>
<td>UV A - intensity testing device Wet fluorescent testing</td>
<td>400 mm distance between test object and UV lamp. UV-A radiation intensity ≥ 10 W/m² (1000 mW/cm²)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daylight</td>
<td>Lux meter</td>
<td>Wet fluorescent testing: max. 20 lux Dry black/white testing: min. 500 lux</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Test medium</td>
<td>Reference block 1 (EN ISO 9934-2) Reference block 2 (EN ISO 9934-2) Reference Test Block JIS Z2343</td>
<td>Control of the test media deterioration Check of wet particles concentration</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
1) **Field directions and examination area** The detectability of an imperfection depends on the angle of its major axis with respect to the direction to the magnetic field.

To ensure detection of imperfections in all orientations, the welds shall be magnetized in two directions approximately perpendicular to each other with a maximum deviation of 30°. This can be achieved using one or more magnetization methods.

When testing incorporates the use of yokes or prods, there will be an area of the component, in the area of each pole piece or tip that will be impossible to test due to excessive magnetic field strength, usually shown by furring of particles.

Adequate overlap of the tested areas shall be ensured.

2) **Typical magnetic particle testing techniques**

Application of magnetic particle testing techniques to common weld joint configurations is shown in Table 3, Table 4, and Table 5. Values are given for guidance purposes only. Where possible the same directions of magnetization and field overlaps should be used for other weld geometry’s to be tested. The dimension a, the flux current path in the material, shall be greater or equal to the width of the weld and
the heat affected zone +50 mm and in all cases the weld and the heat affected zone shall be included in the effective area.

**Table 3 Typical magnetizing techniques for yokes**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Material type: Ferromagnetic material</th>
<th>Dimensions in mm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 1 | ![Diagram 1](image1.png)             | $75 \leq d \leq 250$  
$ b_1 \leq 0.5d$  
$b_2 \leq d - 50$ (minimum overlap 50)  
$b \approx 90^\circ$ |
| 2 | ![Diagram 2](image2.png)             | $d_1 \geq 75$  
$b_1 \leq 0.5d_1$  
$b_2 \leq d_2 - 50$ (minimum overlap 50)  
$d_2 \geq 75$ |
| 3 | ![Diagram 3](image3.png)             | $d_1 \geq 75$  
$d_2 \geq 75$  
$b_1 \leq 0.5d_1$  
$b_2 \leq d_2 - 50$ (minimum overlap 50) |
### Material type:
*Ferromagnetic material*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dimensions in mm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$d_1 \geq 75$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$d_2 \geq 75$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$b_2 \leq d_2 - 50$ (minimum overlap 50)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$b_1 \leq 0.5 \cdot d_1$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 4** Typical magnetizing techniques for pros, using a magnetization current 5A/mm (r.m.s.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Prod spacing</th>
<th>Material type:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ferromagnetic material</strong></td>
<td><strong>Dimensions in mm</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>1</strong></td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Diagram" /></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Prod spacing: $a$ (mm)

- $a \geq 75$
- $b_1 \leq a - 50$ (minimum overlap 50)
- $b_2 \leq 0.8 \cdot a$
- $b_3 \leq 0.5 \cdot a$
- $b \approx 90^\circ$
### Section 3

**Material type:**

*Ferromagnetic material*

**Dimensions in mm**

<p>| | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 2  | ![Diagram](image1.png) | \(a \geq 75\)  
\(b_1 \leq 0.8 \, a\)  
\(b_2 \leq a - 50\) (minimum overlap 50)  
\(b_3 \leq 0.5 \, a\) |
| 3  | ![Diagram](image2.png) | \(a \geq 75\)  
\(b_1 \leq 0.8 \, a\)  
\(b_2 \leq a - 50\) (minimum overlap 50)  
\(b_3 \leq 0.5 \, a\) |
| 4  | ![Diagram](image3.png) | \(a \geq 75\)  
\(b_1 \leq a - 50\) (minimum overlap 50)  
\(b_2 \leq 0.8 \, a\)  
\(b_3 \leq 0.5 \, a\) |
**Table 5 Typical magnetizing techniques for flexible cables or coils**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Material type:</th>
<th>Dimensions in mm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 1 | Ferromagnetic material | $20 \leq a \leq 50$  
$N \times I \geq 8 D$ |

For longitudinal cracks

| 2 |   | $20 \leq a \leq 50$  
$N \times I \geq 8 D$ |

For longitudinal cracks
### 1.8.2 Detecting media

#### 1.8.2.1 General
Detecting media may be either in dry powder or liquid form and the magnetic particles shall be either fluorescent or non-fluorescent. The detecting media shall be traceable to a batch certificate or data sheet documenting compliance with a national or international standard, e.g. ISO 9934-2.

#### 1.8.2.2 Dry particles
The colour of the dry particles (dry powder) shall provide adequate contrast with the surface being examined and they may be of fluorescent or non-fluorescent type. Dry particles shall only be used if the surface temperature of the test object is in the range 57°C to 300°C.

#### 1.8.2.3 Wet particles
The colour of the wet particles shall provide adequate contrast with the surface being examined and they are available in both fluorescent and non-fluorescent concentrates. The particles are suspended in a suitable liquid medium such as water or petroleum distillates. When using wet particle system, the temperature range of the wet particle suspension and the surface of the test object should be within 0°C ≤ T ≤ 57°C. For temperatures below 0°C or above 57°C, procedures approved in accordance with recognised standard for this purpose shall be used. For temperatures exceeding 57°C dry particles shall be used. Checking of wet particles concentration shall be carried out based on EN ISO 9934-2 Non-destructive testing - Magnetic particle testing, Part 2: Detection media. Concentration between 0.1% and 0.4% is considered acceptable.
for fluorescent wet particles. Concentration between 1.0% and 2.5% is considered acceptable for colour contrast wet particles.

1.8.2.4 Fluorescent particles
With fluorescent particles the testing is performed using an ultraviolet light, called black light. The testing shall be performed as follows:
— the testing shall be performed in darkened area where the visible light is limited to a maximum of 20 lx
— photo chromatic spectacles shall not be used
— sufficient time shall be allowed for the operators eyes to become dark adapted in the inspection booth, usually at least 5 min
— UV radiation shall not be directed in the operator’s eyes. All surfaces which can be viewed by the operators shall not fluoresce
— the test surface shall be viewed under a UV-A radiation source. The UV-A irradiance at the surface inspected shall not be less than 10 W/m² (1000 mW/cm²).

1.8.2.5 Visible light Intensity
The test surface for colour contrast method shall be inspected under daylight or under artificial white luminance of not less than 500 lx on the surface of the tested object. The viewing conditions shall be such that glare and reflections are avoided.

1.8.3 Application of detecting media
After the object has been prepared for testing, magnetic particle detecting medium shall be applied by spraying, flooding or dusting immediately prior to and during the magnetization. Following this, time shall be allowed for indications to form before removal of the magnetic field.

When magnetic suspension is used, the magnetic field shall be maintained within the object until the majority of the suspension carrier liquid has drained away from the testing surface. This will prevent any indications being washed away.

Dependent on the material being tested, its surface condition and magnetic permeability, indications will normally remain on the surface even after removal of the magnetic field, due to residual magnetism within the part. However, the presence of residual magnetism shall not be presumed, post evaluation techniques after removal of the prime magnetic source can be permitted only when a component has been proven by an overall performance test to retain magnetic indications.

1.9 Evaluation of imperfections
Certain indications may arise not from imperfections, but from spurious effects, such as scratches, change of section, the boundary between regions of different magnetic properties, weld toes or magnetic writing. These are defined as false indications. The operator shall carry out any necessary testing and observations to identify and if possible eliminate such false indications. Light surface dressing may be of value where permitted.

1.10 Acceptance criteria
Whenever acceptance criteria are defined in the rules, approved drawings, IACS recommendations or other agreed product standards, these criteria are mandatory. If no acceptance criteria are defined, acceptance criteria as specified below may be applied.

The quality for welds shall normally comply with EN ISO 5817 quality level C, Intermediate. For highly stressed areas more stringent requirements, such as quality level B, may be applied.
### 2.1 Scope

This part of the class guideline specifies magnetic particle testing techniques for the detection of surface imperfections in ferromagnetic castings and forgings using the continuous wet or dry method. It can also detect imperfections just below the surface, but its sensitivity reduced rapidly with depth. If such imperfections shall be detected with high reliability, additional inspection methods shall be used.
2.2 Definitions and symbols
See Sec.1 [3] Definitions and symbols

2.3 Information required (prior to testing)
See Sec.1 [6] Information required prior to testing

2.4 Personnel qualifications
See Sec.1 [5] Personnel qualification

2.5 Magnetizing
See [1.5] Magnetizing

2.6 Overall performance test
See [1.6] Overall performance test

2.7 Preparation of surfaces
Supplementary to [1.7] the following shall be taken into account.
The component needs to be thoroughly demagnetised prior to MT – testing to avoid false indications are produced.
The roughness of the machined test areas shall not exceed an average roughness of Ra = 12.5 µm for pre-
machined surface, and Ra = 6.3 µm for final machined surface.

2.8 Testing
Supplementary to [1.8] the following shall be taken into account.
It is not allowed to employ prods on final machined surfaces.
Contact points visible on the surface shall be ground and to be retested by yoke magnetization if they will not be removed by the following machining.
Where magnetisation is achieved in partial areas, AC magnetisation shall normally be used. The DC magnetisation method shall only be used upon special agreement with the Society.
The residual magnetic field strength shall not exceed 800 A/m unless a lower value is required. Where the specified value is exceeded, the part shall be demagnetised and the value of the residual magnetic field strength be recorded.
It shall be ensured that in the contact areas overheating of the material to be examined is avoided. In the case of AC magnetisation the tangential field strength on the surface shall be at least 4 kA/m and shall not exceed 8 kA/m. It shall be checked by measurements that these values are adhered to or test conditions shall be determined under which these values may be obtained.
Where the probable nature and orientation of flaws in a forging can be forecast with confidence as, for example, in certain long forged parts, and where specified in the enquiry or order, magnetization may be performed in a single direction.
Unless residual magnetization techniques are used, the detecting medium shall be applied immediately prior to and during magnetization. The application shall cease before magnetization is terminated. Sufficient time shall be allowed for the indications to build up before moving or examining the component or structure under test.
The following guide values apply with respect to the application of the magnetic particles and magnetisation:

a) magnetisation and application: at least 3 seconds
b) subsequent magnetisation: at least 5 seconds
c) inspection and reporting.

2.9 Evaluation of imperfections

The following rules shall apply (see figure below).

\[ d_1 < 5L_1; \]
\[ d_2 < 5L_2; \]
\[ d_3 > 5L_3 \]

\[ L_1, L_2, L_3 \] = individual lengths of aligned indications

\[ L_g \] = aligned total length of \( L_1, L_2 \) and \( L_3 \)

\[ L_4, L_5, L_6 \] = length of isolated indications \( (L_n) \)

\[ L_g + L_4 + L_5 + L_6 \] = cumulative length of indications on reference area \( (L_k) \)

Number of indications on the reference area = 4 (identified as \( L_g, L_4, L_5, L_6 \))
2.10 Acceptance criteria

Table 6 Acceptance criteria for magnetic particle testing of forgings according to EN 10228-1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter for evaluation</th>
<th>Quality class acc. to EN 10228-1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.3 µm &lt; Ra &lt; 12.5 µm</td>
<td>×</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ra ≤ 6.3 µm</td>
<td>×</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recording level: length of indications [mm]</td>
<td>≥ 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>max. allowed length Lg of aligned or isolated indications Ln [mm]</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>max. allowed cumulative length of indications Lk [mm]</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>max. allowed number of indications on the reference area</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1) Class of quality not applicable for testing of surfaces with machining allowance exceeding 3 mm
2) Class of quality not applicable for testing of surfaces with machining allowance exceeding 1 mm
3) Class of quality not applicable for surfaces of fillets and oil hole bores of crankshafts

Ra = arithmetical mean deviation of the profile

Four quality classes shall be applied to a forging or to parts of a forging. Quality class 4 is the most stringent, determining the smallest recording level and the smallest acceptance standard. For forgings for general application supplied in the as-forged surface condition only, quality classes 1 and 2 are applicable. For closed die forgings, quality class 3 shall be the minimum requirement.

The applicable quality class(es) shall be agreed between the purchaser and supplier prior to the inspection. Table 6 details recording levels and acceptance criteria that shall be applied for four quality classes.

NOTE: Where agreed, recording levels and acceptance criteria different from those detailed in Table 6 may be used.

For hull and machinery steel forgings, IACS Recommendation No. 68 is regarded as an example of an acceptable standard for acceptance criteria.

For marine steel castings IACS Rec. No. 69 is regarded as an example of an acceptable standard for acceptance criteria.

2.11 Demagnetization

See [1.11] Demagnetisation

2.12 Reporting

See [1.12] Reporting
SECTION 4 PENETRANT TESTING

1 Scope
This section describes penetrant testing used to detect imperfections which are open to the surface of the tested material. It is mainly applied to metallic materials, but can also be performed on non-metallic materials, e.g. non-porous surfaces like ceramics or plastics.

2 Personnel qualifications
See Sec.1 [5] Personnel qualification

3 Equipment/testing material
UV-A lamps shall be checked at least once a month.

The equipment for carrying out penetrant testing depends on the number, size and shape of the part to be tested. A product family is understood as a combination of the penetrant testing products/materials.

Cleaner, penetrant, excess penetrant remover and developer shall be from one manufacturer and shall be compatible with each other as a complete brand system.

For colour contrast product family only penetrant products certified to sensitivity level 2 in accordance with EN ISO 3452-2 is accepted according to this classification guideline. Sensitivity level 2 for colour contrast product family shall be defined using type 1 reference block (ref. EN ISO 3452-3). The Type 1 reference block consists of a set of four nickel-chrome plated panels with 10 μm, 20 μm, 30 μm and 50 μm thickness of plating, respectively. The sensitivity of colour contrast penetrant systems is determined using the 30 μm and 50 μm panels. The Type 1 panels are rectangular in shape with typical dimensions of 35 mm × 100 mm × 2 mm (see below). Each panel consists of a uniform layer of nickel-chromium plated on to a brass base, the thickness of nickel-chromium being 10 μm, 20 μm, 30 μm and 50 μm respectively. Transverse cracks are made in each panel by stretching the panels in the longitudinal direction. The width to depth ratio of each crack should be approximately 1:20.
4 Compatibility of testing materials with the parts to be tested

The penetrant testing products shall be compatible with the material to be tested and the use for which the part is designed.

When examining nickel base alloys, all penetrant materials shall be analysed individually for sulphur content. The sulphur content shall not exceed 1% by weight.

When examining austenitic or duplex stainless steel and titanium, all penetrant materials shall be analysed individually for halogens content. The total halogens content shall not exceed 1% by weight. These impurities may cause embrittlement or corrosion, particularly at elevated temperatures.

The penetrant products (penetrant, remover and developer) shall be traceable to a batch certificate or data sheet documenting compliance with one or more of the following combinations from ISO 3452-1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Penetrant</th>
<th>Excess penetrant remover</th>
<th>Developer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Type</td>
<td>Denomination</td>
<td>Method</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Fluorescent penetrant</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
5 Preparation, pre-cleaning and testing

5.1 Preparation and pre-cleaning of the surface

Contaminants, e.g. scale, rust, oil, grease or paint shall be removed, if necessary using mechanical or chemical methods or a combination of these methods. Pre-cleaning shall ensure that the test surface is free from residues and that it allows the penetrant to enter any defects/discontinuities. The cleaned area shall be large enough to prevent interference from areas adjacent to the actual test surface.

Scale, slag, rust, etc., shall be removed using suitable methods such as brushing, rubbing, abrasion, blasting, high pressure water blasting, etc. These methods remove contaminants from the surface and generally are incapable of removing contaminants from within surface discontinuities. In all cases and in particular in the case of shot blasting, care shall be taken to ensure that the discontinuities are not masked by plastic deformation or clogging from abrasive materials. If it is necessary, to ensure that discontinuities are open to the surface, subsequent etching treatment shall be carried out, followed by adequate rinsing and drying.

5.1.1 Drying

As the final stage of pre-cleaning, the object to be tested shall be thoroughly dried, so that neither water or solvent remains in the defects/discontinuities. Where wire brushing or grinding is applied to remove imperfections that would interfere with the examination, the material thickness shall not be reduced below the minimum thickness permitted by the design specification and the dressed areas shall be faired with the surrounding surface.

After surface preparation and cleaning has been performed, a visual examination of the surface is usually undertaken.

5.2 Application of penetrant

5.2.1 Methods of application

The penetrant can be applied to the object to be tested by spraying, brushing, flooding or immersion. Care shall be taken to ensure that the test surface remains completely wetted throughout the entire penetration time.
5.2.2 Temperature
In order to minimize moisture entering defects/discontinuities, the temperature of the test surface shall generally be within the range from 10°C to 50°C. In special cases temperatures as low as 5°C may be accepted, provided the penetrant system is qualified for this temperature using a comparison block. For temperatures below 10°C or above 50°C only penetrant product families and procedures approved in accordance with recognised standard for this purpose shall be used.

5.2.3 Penetration time
The appropriate penetration time depends on the properties of the penetrant, the application temperature, the material of the object to be tested and the defects/discontinuities to be detected. The penetration time shall be in accordance with the time used by the manufacturer when certifying the product family in accordance with ISO 3452-2 for sensitivity level 2 and at least 15 minutes.

5.3 Excess penetrant removal

5.3.1 General
The application of the remover medium shall be done such that no penetrant is removed from the defects/discontinuities. It is not allowed to spray the cleaner directly upon the surface to be tested.

5.3.2 Water
The excess penetrant shall be removed using a suitable rinsing technique. Examples: spray rinsing or wiping with a damp cloth. Care shall be taken to minimize any detrimental effect caused by the rinsing method and to avoid excessive washing. The temperature of the water shall not exceed 45°C. The water pressure shall not exceed 50 psi (3.4 bar).

5.3.3 Solvent
Generally, the excess penetrant shall be removed first by using a clean lint-free cloth. Subsequent cleaning with a clean lint-free cloth lightly moistened with solvent shall then be carried out. Any other removal technique shall be approved by the contracting parties. Care shall be taken to minimize any detrimental effect caused by the rinsing method.

5.3.4 Emulsifier
Hydrophilic (water-dilutable):
To allow the post-emulsifiable penetrant to be removed from the test surface, it shall be made water washable by application of an emulsifier. Before the application of the emulsifier, a water wash should be performed in order to remove the bulk of the excess penetrant from the test surface and to facilitate a uniform action of the hydrophilic emulsifier which be applied subsequently.

The emulsifier shall be applied by immersion or by foam equipment. The concentration and the contact time of the emulsifier shall be evaluated by the user through pre-test according to the manufacturers’ instruction. The predetermined emulsifier contact time shall not be exceeded and the contact time shall be stated in the procedure. After emulsification, a final wash shall be carried out. Care shall be taken to minimize any detrimental effect caused by the rinsing method and to avoid excessive washing.

Lipophilic (oil-based):
To allow the post-emulsifiable penetrant to be removed from test surface, it shall be rendered water-washable by application of an emulsifier. This can only be done by immersion. The emulsifier contact time shall be evaluated by the user through pre-test according to the manufacturers’ instruction and the contact time shall be stated in the procedure.

This time shall be sufficient to allow only the excess penetrant to be removed from the test surface during the subsequent water wash. The emulsifying time shall not be exceeded. Immediately after emulsification, a water wash shall be carried out. Care shall be taken to minimize any detrimental effect caused by the rinsing method and to avoid excessive washing.
5.3.5 Water and solvent
First the excess water washable penetrant shall be removed with water. Subsequent cleaning with a clean lint-free cloth, lightly moistened, with solvent shall be then carried out. Care shall be taken to minimize any detrimental effect caused by the rinsing method and to avoid excessive washing.

5.3.6 Excess penetrant removal check
During excess penetrant removal the test surface shall be visually checked for penetrant residues. For fluorescent penetrants, this shall be carried out under a UV-A source.

5.4 Drying
In order to facilitate rapid drying of excess water, any droplets and puddles of water shall be removed from the object.
Except when using water-based developer the test surface shall be dried as quickly as possible after excess penetrant removal, using one of the following methods:
— wiping with clean, dry, lint-free cloth
— forced air circulation
— evaporation at elevated temperature.
If compressed air is used, particular care shall be taken to ensure that it is water and oil-free and applied pressure on surface of the object is kept as low as possible.
The method of drying the object to be tested shall be carried out in a way ensuring that the penetrant entrapped in the defects/discontinuities does not dry.
The surface temperature shall not exceed 45°C during drying unless otherwise approved.

5.5 Application of developer
The developer shall be maintained in a uniform condition during use and shall be evenly applied to the test surface. The application of the developer shall be carried out as soon as possible after the removal of excess penetrant.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of Developer</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Dry powder</strong></td>
<td>May only be used with fluorescent penetrants. Shall be uniformly applied to the test surface. Techniques for application: dust storm, electrostatic spraying, flock gun, fluidized bed or storm cabinet. The test surface shall be thinly covered; local agglomerations are not permitted.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Water-suspendable</strong></td>
<td>A thin uniform application shall be carried out. Techniques for application: By immersion in agitated suspension or by spraying with suitable equipment in accordance with the approved procedure. Immersion time and temperature of the developer shall be evaluated by the user through pre-test according to the manufacturers’ instruction. The immersion time shall be as short as possible to ensure optimum results. The object shall de dried by evaporation and/or by the use of a forced air circulation oven.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>and Water soluble</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Solvent-based</strong></td>
<td>The developer shall be applied by spraying uniformly. Techniques for application: The spray shall be such that the developer arrives slightly wet on the surface, giving a thin, uniform layer. The thickness of the developer layer shall be so thin that one vaguely can see the surface through. Usually this requires a spraying distance of minimum 300 mm.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
5.5.1 Developing time
The developing time shall as a minimum be the same as the penetration time, however, longer times may be agreed. The developing time shall be stated in the test procedure to ensure repeatable test results with respect to defect sizing. The development time begins:

— immediately after application when dry developer is applied
— immediately after drying when wet developer is applied.

To verify the penetrant procedure it is recommended to use a reference object with known defects such as test panel type 2 described in ISO 3452-3 or equivalent. The test panel type 2 and penetrant products shall before testing achieve the same temperature as relevant for the actual testing to be performed. The minimum defect size to be detected shall respond to the maximum acceptable defect size.

6 Inspection

6.1 General
Generally, it is advisable to carry out the first examination just after the application of the developer or soon as the developer is dry. This facilitates a better interpretation of indications.

The final inspection shall be carried out when the development time has elapsed.

Equipment for visual examination, such as magnification instruments or contrast spectacles, can be used.

6.2 Viewing conditions and inspection parameters

6.2.1 Fluorescent penetrant

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Inspection-parameter</th>
<th>Control device</th>
<th>Limits / Values</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>UV-A radiation</td>
<td>UV A - intensity testing device</td>
<td>400 mm distance between test object and UV lamp. UV intensity ≥ 10 W/m²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ambient light</td>
<td>Lux meter</td>
<td>Fluorescent technique: max. 20 lux Colour contrast technique: min. 500 lux</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Test medium</td>
<td>Reference Test Block 2 (ISO 3452-2) Reference Test Block (JIS Z 2343) Sherwin Test Panels</td>
<td>Control of inspection material</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Photo chromatic spectacles shall not be used.
Sufficient time shall be allowed for the operators eyes to become dark adapted in the inspection booth, usually at least 5 min.
UV radiation shall not be directed in the operator’s eyes.

6.2.2 Colour contrast penetrant
The test surface shall be inspected under daylight or under artificial white a luminance of not less than 500 lx on the surface of the tested object. The viewing conditions shall be such that glare and reflections are avoided.

7 Acceptance criteria
Whenever acceptance criteria are defined in the rules, approved drawings, IACS recommendations or other agreed product standards, these criteria are mandatory. If no acceptance criteria are defined, acceptance criteria as specified below may be applied.
The indication produced by penetrant testing do not usually display the same size and shape characteristics as the imperfections causing that indication, it is the size of the indication, *(bleed out)* which shall be assessed against the values shown below or referred to.

### 7.1 Welds

The quality shall normally comply with Level 2X. For highly stressed areas more stringent requirements, such as Level 1, may be applied, see **Table 1**.

**Table 1 Acceptance levels for indications**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of indication</th>
<th>Acceptance level&lt;sup&gt;a)&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Linear indication</td>
<td>( t \leq 2 \text{ mm} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( t = \text{length of indication} )</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-linear indication</td>
<td>( d \leq 4 \text{ mm} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( d = \text{major axis dimension} )</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a)</sup> Acceptance levels 2 and 3 may be specified with suffix "x" which denotes that all linear indications detected shall be evaluated to level 1. However, the probability of detection of indications smaller than those denoted by the original acceptance level can be low. Linear defect such like crack, lack of fusion and lack of penetration is NOT acceptable regardless of length.

### 7.2 Forgings

For hull and machinery forgings, IACS recommendation No.68 is regarded as an example of an acceptable standard.

### 7.3 Castings

For marine steel castings IACS Rec. No. 69 is regarded as an example of an acceptable standard.

### 8 Post cleaning and protection

#### 8.1 Post cleaning

After final inspection, post cleaning of the object is necessary only in those cases where the penetrant testing products could interfere with subsequent processing or service requirements.

#### 8.2 Protection

If required a suitable corrosion protection shall be applied.

### 9 Retesting

If retesting is necessary, e.g. because no unambiguous evaluation of indication is possible, the entire test procedure, starting with the pre cleaning, shall be repeated.
The use of a different type of penetrant or a penetrant of the same type from a different supplier is not allowed unless a thorough cleaning has been carried out to remove penetrant residues remaining in the defects/discontinuities.

**10 Reporting**

In addition to the items listed under Sec. 1 [11] Final report the following shall be included in the penetrant testing report:

— penetrant system used, e.g. coloured or fluorescent
— penetrant product
— application methods
— penetration and development time
— viewing conditions
— test temperature.
SECTION 5 RADIOGRAPHIC TESTING

1 Scope
This section describes fundamental techniques for radiography with the object of enable satisfactory and repeatable results. The techniques are based on generally recognized practice and fundamental theory of the subject. This part of the class guideline applies to the film radiographic testing of fusion welded joints in metallic materials. However, it may also be applied for flaw detection of non-welded metallic materials. For radiographic testing of forgings and castings and use of digital detectors, the following standards applies:
— digital radiography: EN ISO 17636-2, Class B
— castings: ISO 4993 (Class B techniques to be used)
— other components: EN ISO 5579, Class B.

1.1 Definitions and symbols
In addition to that given in Sec.1 [3] the following applies:
Nominal thickness, \( t \): The nominal thickness of the parent material only. Manufacturing tolerances do not shall be taken into account.
Penetrated thickness, \( w \): The thickness of the material in the direction of the radiation beam calculated on the basis of the nominal thickness.
Object - to - film distance, \( b \): The distance between the radiation side of the test object and the film surface measured along the central axis of the radiation beam.
Source size, \( d \): The size of the radiation source.

Guidance note:
See EN 12679 or EN 12543 for determination of source size.

Source - to - film distance, \( SFD \): The distance between the source of radiation and the film measured in the direction of the beam.

Source - to - object distance, \( f \): The distance between the source of the radiation and the source side of the test object measured along the central axis of the radiation beam.
Effective film length, \( EFL \): The area of the film that shall be interpreted
Minimum source to object distance min., \( f_{\text{min}} \): The minimum allowable distance between the focal spot and the source side of the object
Diameter, \( D_e \): The nominal external diameter of the pipe/tube.

2 Personnel qualifications
In addition, requirements for radiation protection qualification should be in accordance with national legislation or international standards.
However, operators only producing radiographs and not performing film interpretation may be qualified and certified in RT at level 1 in accordance with an accredited 3rd party certification scheme based on EN ISO 9712.

3 Classification of radiographic techniques
The radiographic techniques are divided into two classes:
— Class A: Basic techniques
— Class B: Improved techniques.
The choice of techniques shall be in accordance with Table 10. Class B techniques shall be applied if not otherwise justified and agreed.

If, for technical reasons, it is not possible to meet one of the conditions specified for Class B, such as type of radiation source or the source to object distance, the condition specified for Class A may be used. However, the loss of sensitivity shall be compensated by an increase in minimum density to 3.0 and by use of a higher contrast film system. This does not apply if the special SFD reductions as described in this part of the class guideline are used.

4 General

4.1 Protection against ionizing radiation

When using ionizing radiation, local, national or international safety precautions and legislation shall be strictly applied.

4.2 Surface preparation

The inside and outside surfaces of the welds to be tested by x-ray/gamma-ray shall be sufficiently free from irregularities that may mask or interfere with the interpretation.

Where surface imperfections or coatings cause difficulty in detecting defects, the surface shall be ground smooth or the coatings shall be removed. Otherwise, surface preparation is not necessary. Unless otherwise specified, radiography shall be carried out after the final stage of manufacture, e.g. after grinding or heat treatment.

4.3 Identification of radiographs

Each radiograph shall be properly marked to clearly indicate the hull number or other equivalent traceable identification and to identify the exact location of the area of interest. The images of these symbols shall appear in the radiograph outside the region of interest where possible and shall ensure unambiguous identification of the section. Permanent markings shall be made on the object to be tested, in order to accurately locate the position of each radiograph. Where the nature of the material and/or service conditions do not permit permanent marking, the location may be recorded by means of accurate sketches.

If the weld does not clearly appear on the radiograph, markers, usually in the form of lead arrows or other symbols, shall be placed on each side of the weld.

The images of these letters should appear in the radiograph to ensure unequivocal identification of the section.

4.4 Overlap of radiographs

When exposing radiographs of an area with two or more separate films, the films shall show overlap sufficiently to ensure that the complete region of interest is radiographed. This shall be verified by high density marker placed on the surface of the object which will appear on each film.

4.5 Types and position of Image quality indicator (IQI)

The quality of the image shall be verified by use of an IQI.

IQI shall be selected from either the same alloy material group or grade or from an alloy material group with less radiation absorption than the material being tested.

The IQI used shall preferably be placed on the source side of the test object and in close contact with the surface of the object. The IQI shall be located in a section of a uniform thickness characterized by a uniform optical density on the film. If not otherwise approved, wire penetrrometer should be used.
The wires shall be perpendicular to the weld and its location shall ensure that at least 10 mm of the wire length shows in a section of uniform optical density, which is normally in the parent metal adjacent to the weld. The IQI shall be placed on the side of weld facing the source of radiation (source side) in the worst geometrical position which is required at either end of the applicable length of weld under inspection. For exposures in accordance with Fig. 4 and Fig. 5, the IQI can be placed with the wires across the pipe axis and they should not be projected into the image of the weld.

For exposures in accordance with Fig. 4 and Fig. 5, the IQI type used can be placed either on the source or on the film side. If an IQI cannot be physically placed on the side of the weld facing the source of radiation, the IQI may be placed in contact with the back surface of the weld. This shall be indicated by the placement of a lead letter "F" near the IQI and this shall be recorded in the test report.

For pipe diameter, \( D_e \geq 200 \) mm and with the source centrally located, at least three IQIs should be placed equally spaced at the circumference. The film(s) showing IQI image(s) are then considered representative of the whole circumference.

### 4.6 Evaluation of image quality

Exposed films shall be viewed in accordance with ISO 5580.

The image of the IQI on the radiograph shall be tested and the number of the smallest wire which can be discerned shall be determined. The image of the wire is acceptable if a continuous length of at least 10 mm is clearly visible in a section of uniform optical density.

The image quality obtained shall be recorded on the radiographic testing report. The type of IQI used shall also be clearly stated.

### 4.7 Minimum image quality values

Table 1 to Table 6 show the minimum quality values for ferrous materials. They may be applied for nonferrous materials unless otherwise agreed.

**Table 1 Single-wall technique, wire IQI on source side**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nominal thickness, ( t, ) (mm)</th>
<th>Nominal wire diameter (mm)</th>
<th>IQI value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( t \leq 1.2 )</td>
<td>0.063</td>
<td>W18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( 1.2 &lt; t \leq 2 )</td>
<td>0.080</td>
<td>W17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( 2 &lt; t \leq 3.5 )</td>
<td>0.100</td>
<td>W16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( 3.5 &lt; t \leq 5 )</td>
<td>0.125</td>
<td>W15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( 5 &lt; t \leq 7 )</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>W14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( 7 &lt; t \leq 10 )</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>W13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( 10 &lt; t \leq 15 )</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>W12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( 15 &lt; t \leq 25 )</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>W11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( 25 &lt; t \leq 32 )</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>W10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( 32 &lt; t \leq 40 )</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>W9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( 40 &lt; t \leq 55 )</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>W8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( 55 &lt; t \leq 85 )</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>W7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( 85 &lt; t \leq 150 )</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>W6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table 2 Single-wall technique, wire IQI on source side

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nominal thickness, $t$, (mm)</th>
<th>Nominal wire diameter (mm)</th>
<th>IQI value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$150 &lt; t \leq 250$</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>W5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$t &gt; 250$</td>
<td>1.60</td>
<td>W4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1) If it is not possible to place the IQI on the source side, the IQI shall be placed on the film side and the image quality determined from comparison exposure with one IQI placed on the source side and one on the film side under the same conditions.

### Table 3 Double-wall technique, double image, IQI on source side

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Penetrated thickness, $w$, (mm)</th>
<th>Nominal wire diameter (mm)</th>
<th>IQI value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$w \leq 1.2$</td>
<td>0.063</td>
<td>W18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$1.2 &lt; w \leq 2$</td>
<td>0.080</td>
<td>W17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$2 &lt; w \leq 3.5$</td>
<td>0.100</td>
<td>W16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table 4 Double-wall technique, double image, IQI on source side

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Penetrated thickness, ( w ), (mm)</th>
<th>Nominal wire diameter (mm)</th>
<th>IQI value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3.5 &lt; ( w ) ≤ 5</td>
<td>0.125</td>
<td>W15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 &lt; ( w ) ≤ 7</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>W14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 &lt; ( w ) ≤ 12</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>W13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 &lt; ( w ) ≤ 18</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>W12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18 &lt; ( w ) ≤ 30</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>W11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30 &lt; ( w ) ≤ 40</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>W10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40 &lt; ( w ) ≤ 50</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>W9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50 &lt; ( w ) ≤ 60</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>W8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60 &lt; ( w ) ≤ 85</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>W7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85 &lt; ( w ) ≤ 120</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>W6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>120 &lt; ( w ) ≤ 220</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>W5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>220 &lt; ( w ) ≤ 380</td>
<td>1.60</td>
<td>W4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( w ) &gt; 380</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>W3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Image Quality Class B

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Penetrated thickness, ( w ), (mm)</th>
<th>Nominal wire diameter (mm)</th>
<th>IQI value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( w ) ≤ 1.5</td>
<td>0.050</td>
<td>W19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.5 &lt; ( w ) ≤ 2.5</td>
<td>0.063</td>
<td>W18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.5 &lt; ( w ) ≤ 4</td>
<td>0.080</td>
<td>W17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 &lt; ( w ) ≤ 6</td>
<td>0.100</td>
<td>W16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 &lt; ( w ) ≤ 8</td>
<td>0.125</td>
<td>W15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 &lt; ( w ) ≤ 15</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>W14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15 &lt; ( w ) ≤ 25</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>W13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25 &lt; ( w ) ≤ 38</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>W12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38 &lt; ( w ) ≤ 45</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>W11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45 &lt; ( w ) ≤ 55</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>W10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55 &lt; ( w ) ≤ 70</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>W9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70 &lt; ( w ) ≤ 100</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>W8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100 &lt; ( w ) ≤ 170</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>W7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>170 &lt; ( w ) ≤ 250</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>W6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( w ) &gt; 250</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>W5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table 5 Double-wall technique, single or double image, IQI on film side

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Penetrated thickness, ( w ), (mm)</th>
<th>Nominal wire diameter (mm)</th>
<th>IQI value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( w \leq 1.2 )</td>
<td>0.063</td>
<td>W18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( 1.2 &lt; w \leq 2 )</td>
<td>0.080</td>
<td>W17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( 2 &lt; w \leq 3.5 )</td>
<td>0.100</td>
<td>W16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( 3.5 &lt; w \leq 5 )</td>
<td>0.125</td>
<td>W15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( 5 &lt; w \leq 10 )</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>W14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( 10 &lt; w \leq 15 )</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>W13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( 15 &lt; w \leq 22 )</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>W12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( 22 &lt; w \leq 38 )</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>W11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( 38 &lt; w \leq 48 )</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>W10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( 48 &lt; w \leq 60 )</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>W9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( 60 &lt; w \leq 85 )</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>W8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( 85 &lt; w \leq 125 )</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>W7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( 125 &lt; w \leq 225 )</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>W6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( 225 &lt; w \leq 375 )</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>W5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( w &gt; 375 )</td>
<td>1.60</td>
<td>W4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 6 Double-wall technique, single or double image, IQI on film side

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Penetrated thickness, ( w ), (mm)</th>
<th>Nominal wire diameter (mm)</th>
<th>IQI value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( w \leq 1.5 )</td>
<td>0.050</td>
<td>W19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( 1.5 &lt; w \leq 2.5 )</td>
<td>0.063</td>
<td>W18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( 2.5 &lt; w \leq 4 )</td>
<td>0.080</td>
<td>W17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( 4 &lt; w \leq 6 )</td>
<td>0.100</td>
<td>W16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( 6 &lt; w \leq 12 )</td>
<td>0.125</td>
<td>W15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( 12 &lt; w \leq 18 )</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>W14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( 18 &lt; w \leq 30 )</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>W13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( 30 &lt; w \leq 45 )</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>W12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( 45 &lt; w \leq 55 )</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>W11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( 55 &lt; w \leq 70 )</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>W10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( 70 &lt; w \leq 100 )</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>W9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( 100 &lt; w \leq 180 )</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>W8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( 180 &lt; w \leq 300 )</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>W7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
5 Techniques for making radiographs

5.1 Test Arrangements

The radiographic techniques in accordance with Figure 1 through Figure 8 are recommended.

The elliptical technique in accordance with Figure 4 should not be used for external diameters \(D_e > 100\) mm, wall thickness \(t > 8\) mm and weld widths \(> D_e/4\). Two 90° displaced image are sufficient if \(t/D_e < 0.12\). The distance between the two weld images shall be about one weld width.

When it is difficult to carry out an elliptic test at \(D_e \leq 100\) mm, the perpendicular technique in accordance with Figure 4 should be used. In this case three exposures 120° or 60° apart are required.

For test arrangements in accordance with Figure 3, Figure 4 and Figure 5, the inclination of the beam shall be kept as small as possible and be such as to prevent superimposition of the two images.

Other radiographic techniques may be used, when the geometry of the piece or differences in material thickness do not permit use of one of the techniques listed in Figure 1 to Figure 8. Multi-film techniques shall not be used to reduce exposure times on uniform sections. The use of multi-film techniques shall be pre-qualified.

Guidance note:

The minimum number of radiographs necessary to obtain an acceptable radiographic coverage of the total circumference of a butt weld in pipe shall be in accordance with EN ISO 17636-1.

---e-n-d---o-f---g-u-i-d-a-n-c-e---n-o-t-e---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Penetrated thickness, (w, (mm))</th>
<th>Nominal wire diameter (mm)</th>
<th>IQI value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(w &gt; 300)</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>W6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\[ 1 = \text{Radiation source} \]
\[ 2 = \text{Film} \]
\[ b = \text{The distance between the radiation side of the test object and the film surface measured along the central axis of the radiation beam} \]
\( f \) = The distance between the source of the radiation and the source side of the test object measured along the central axis of the radiation beam

\( t \) = The nominal thickness of the parent material.

**Figure 1 Test arrangement - for plane walls and single-walls penetration and single wall penetration of curved objects**

The ratio of the penetrated thickness at the outer edge of an evaluated area of uniform thickness to that at the beam centre shall not be more than 1.2 for Class A and 1.1 for Class B.

**Figure 2 Test arrangement - for single wall penetration of curved objects**

**Figure 3 Test arrangement - for single wall penetration of curved objects. Radiation source located off-centre inside the object and film outside**
Figure 4 Test arrangement - for elliptical technique of curved objects for evaluation of both walls (source and film outside the test object)

This technique may be used for pipe diameter \( \leq 100 \text{ mm} \), wall thickness \( \leq 8 \text{ mm} \) and weld width less than \( D/4 \). It is sufficient with two 90° displaced images if \( t/D < 0.12 \). The distance between the two weld images shall be about one weld width.

Figure 5 Test arrangement - for double-wall/ double image technique of curved objects for evaluation of both walls (source and film outside the test object)

When it is difficult to carry out an elliptical examination at \( D_e \leq 100 \text{ mm} \), this perpendicular technique may be used (see Figure 4). In this case, three exposures 120° or 60° apart are required.
Figure 6 Test arrangement - for double-wall technique single image of curved objects for evaluation of the wall next to the film with the IQI placed close to the film.

Figure 7 Test arrangement - for double-wall technique single image (contact) technique for pipe diameter > 100 mm.
5.2 Choice of tube voltage and radiation source

5.2.1 X-ray devices up to 1000 kV

To maintain good flaw sensitivity, the X-ray tube voltage should be as low as possible. The maximum values of tube voltage versus thickness are given in Figure 9.

For some applications where there is a thickness change across the area of object being tested, a modified technique with a slightly higher voltage may be used, but it should be noted that an excessively high tube voltage will lead to a loss of detection sensitivity. For steel the increment shall not be more than 50 kV, for titanium not more than 40 kV and for aluminium not more than 30 kV.

1) copper/nickel and alloys
2) steel
3) titanium and alloys
4) aluminium and alloys.
5.2.2 Other radiation sources

The permitted penetrated thickness ranges for gamma ray sources are given in Table 8.

For certain applications wider wall thickness range may be permitted, if sufficient image quality can be achieved. X-ray equipment with energy 1 MeV and above may be used if special approved by the Society.

On thin steel specimens, gamma rays from Se 75, Ir 192 and Co 60 will not produce radiographs having as good defect detection sensitivity as X-rays used with appropriate techniques and parameters.

In cases where radiographs are produced using gamma rays, the travel time to position the source shall not exceed 10% of the total exposure time.

Table 7 Penetrated thickness range for gamma ray sources for steel, copper and nickel base alloy.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Radiation source</th>
<th>Penetrated thickness, w mm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Test Class A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Se75 1)</td>
<td>10 ≤ w ≤ 40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ir192</td>
<td>20 ≤ w ≤ 100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co60 2)</td>
<td>40 ≤ w ≤ 200</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 9 Maximum X-ray voltage - for X-ray devices up to 1000 kV as a function of penetrated thickness and material
5.3 Film systems and screens

Film system classes and metal screens for radiographic testing of steels, aluminium, copper and nickel based alloys shall be in accordance with EN ISO 17636-1 and EN ISO 11699-1.

When using metal screens, good contact between film and screens is required.

5.4 Alignment of beam

The radiation beam shall be directed to the centre of the area being tested and should be perpendicular to the object surface at that point, except when it can be demonstrated that certain imperfections are best revealed by a different alignment of the beam. In this case, an appropriate alignment of the beam may be permitted.

5.5 Reduction of scattered radiation

5.5.1 Filters and collimators

In order to reduce the effect of back-scattered radiation, direct radiation shall be collimated as much as possible to the section being tested.

With Ir192 and Co60 radiation sources or in the case of edge scatter, a sheet of lead can be used as a low energy scattered radiation filter between the object and the film cassette. The thickness of this sheet shall be between 0.5 mm and 2 mm.

5.5.2 Interception of back-scattered radiation

If necessary, the film shall be shielded from back-scattered radiation by an adequate thickness of lead, or of tin, placed behind the film-screen combination.

The presence of back-scattered radiation shall be checked for each new test arrangement by a lead letter “B” placed immediately behind each cassette/film. If the image of this symbol records as a lighter image on the radiograph, it shall be rejected. If the symbol is darker or invisible, the radiograph is acceptable and demonstrates good protection against scattered radiation.
5.6 Geometrical unsharpness and source to object distance

The minimum source to object distance $f_{\text{min}}$, depends on the source size $d$, on the film to object distance, $b$ and the maximum allowable geometrical unsharpness.

The maximum geometrical unsharpness is:

**Table 8 Geometrical unsharpness**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Class</th>
<th>$U_g$ [mm]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>$\leq 0.4$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>$\leq 0.2$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Minimum source to object distance is calculated from the equation below:

$$f_{\text{min}} = \frac{b \cdot d}{U_g}$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

If the distance $b < 1.2 \ t$, the dimension $b$ in equation (1) shall be replaced by the nominal thickness $t$.

In Class A, if planar imperfections shall be detected, the minimum distance, $f_{\text{min}}$ shall be the same as for Class B in order to reduce the geometric un-sharpness, $U_g$, by a factor of 2.

For critical technical applications in crack-sensitive materials, more sensitive radiographic techniques than Class B shall be used.

When using the elliptical technique described in Figure 4 or the perpendicular technique described in Figure 5, $b$ shall be replaced by the external diameter, $D_e$, of the pipe in equation (1).

If the radiation source can be placed inside the object to be radiographed (techniques shown in Figure 2 and Figure 3) to achieve a more suitable direction of testing and when a double wall technique (see Figure 6 to Figure 7) is avoided, this method should be preferred. The reduction in minimum source to object distance should not be greater than 20%. When the source is located centrally inside the object and the film (see Figure 2) and provided that the IQI requirements are met, this percentage may be increased. However, the reduction in minimum source to object distance shall be no greater than 50%.

5.7 Maximum area for a single exposure

The number of radiographs for complete testing of flat welds and of curved welds with the radiation source arranged off-centre should be specified.

The ratio of the penetrated thickness at the outer edge of an evaluated area of uniform thickness to that at the beam centre shall not be more than 1.2 for Class A and 1.1 for Class B.

The densities resulting from any variation of penetrated thickness should not be lower than those indicated in [5.8] and not higher than those allowed by the available illuminator, provided suitable masking is possible.

The size of the area to be tested includes the welds and the heat affected zones. In general, about 10 mm of parent metal should also be tested on each side of the weld.

5.8 Density of radiographs

Exposure conditions should be such that the minimum optical density of the radiograph in the area of interest, see Figure 10, is in accordance with Table 10.
Table 9 Optical density of radiographs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Class</th>
<th>Optical density 1)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>≥ 2.0 to 4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>≥ 2.3 2) to 4.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1) A measuring tolerance of ± 0.1 is permitted. For density above 2.5, the minimum luminance through film should be 10 cd/m²
2) May be reduced to 2.0 by special agreement between the contracting parties

The density shall be verified by measuring using an annually calibrated densitometer or by checking the densitometer using a calibrated film strip as reference.

Higher optical densities than given in Table 9 can be used where the viewing light is sufficiently bright and in accordance with ISO 5580. This shall be documented by the manufacturer of the viewing equipment.

In order to avoid unduly high fog densities arising from film ageing, development or temperature, the fog density shall be checked periodically on a non-exposed sample taken from the films being used, and handled and processed under the same conditions as the actual exposed radiograph. The fog density shall not exceed 0.3. Fog density here is defined as the total density (emulsion and base) of a processed, unexposed film.

When using a multi-film technique with interpretation of single films, the optical density of each film shall be in accordance with Table 9.

If double film viewing is required, the optical density of one single film shall not be lower than 1.3.

![Area of interest shown](image)

**Figure 10 Area of interest**

5.9 Processing
Films should be processed in accordance with the conditions recommended by the film and chemical manufacturer in order to obtain the selected film system class in accordance with EN ISO 17636-1. Particular attention shall be paid to the temperature, developing time and washing time. The film processing shall be controlled regularly in accordance with ISO 11699-2.

5.10 Film viewing conditions
The radiographs shall be examined in a darkened area using viewing screens with adjustable luminance in accordance with ISO 5580. The viewing screens should be masked to the area of interest.

5.11 Quality of radiographs
All radiographs shall be free from mechanical, chemical, or other blemishes to the extent that they do not mask the image of any discontinuity in the area of interest of the object being tested.
6 Acceptance criteria

Whenever acceptance criteria are defined in the rules, approved drawings, IACS Recommendations or other agreed product standards, these criteria are mandatory. If no acceptance criteria are defined, acceptance criteria for welds as specified below may be applied. The standard "ISO 17636" below, comprises both part 1 and part 2 of the standard. Referenced ISO 17636 below is considered to be equivalent to relevant part of this class guideline.

The quality of welds shall comply with EN ISO 5817 Quality Level C. For highly stressed areas more stringent requirements, such as Quality Level B, may be applied.

Table 10 Radiographic testing using films and digital detectors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Quality levels in accordance with ISO 5817 or ISO 10042</th>
<th>Testing techniques and levels in accordance with ISO 17638 or DNVGL CG 0051</th>
<th>Acceptance levels in accordance with ISO 10675-1 or ISO 10675-2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>B (^{a)})</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>At least A</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^{a)}\) The minimum number of exposures for circumferential weld testing may correspond to the requirements given in ISO 17636, Class A.

For hull and machinery forgings and castings acceptance criteria shall be approved case by case.

7 Reporting

In addition to the items listed under Sec.1 [11] Final report, the following shall be included in the radiographic testing report:

— radiographic technique and class
— type and position of image quality indicator
— source to film distance and exposure time
— geometric un-sharpness
— sensitivity
— density
— film, screens and filter
— source type, focus dimension, source activity, used tube voltage and current
— film processing technique: manual or automatic.
SECTION 6 ULTRASONIC TESTING

1 Scope
This section specifies methods for the manual ultrasonic testing (UT) of fusion welded joints in metallic materials equal to and above 10 mm thickness. It is primarily intended for use on full penetrations welds in C, C-Mn steels, alloy steels and aluminium.

However, techniques for ultrasonic testing of welds in austenitic stainless steel and ferritic-austenitic (duplex) steels are also described.

In addition, methods for manual ultrasonic testing of rolled steel plates, castings and forgings are covered.

The definitions, techniques and requirements specified in this class guideline will always satisfy the need for a written procedure. Where techniques described in this class guideline are not applicable to the weld joint or material to be examined, additional written procedures shall be used. The procedures shall be established according to recognised standards and are subjected for approval by the Society.

Typical applications which require specific procedures, procedure qualifications and accompanying requirements are:

— ultrasonic testing of welds in austenitic stainless steel
— ultrasonic testing of welds in ferritic-austenitic (duplex) stainless steels
— detection of corrosion and/or thickness measurement
— estimation of defect size (height) using conventional beam spread diagram (20 dB-drop) or Time-of-Flight-Diffraction (TOFD) technique. TOFD shall be done according to EN ISO 10863 (or EN ISO 16828) for thicknesses above 10 mm and limitations in coverage for surface and back wall shall be taken into consideration and compensated for. Acceptance levels 1 or 2 according to EN ISO 15626 shall be used
— Phased Array Ultrasonic Testing, PAUT. PAUT shall be done according to EN ISO 13588. In addition the requirements for testing volume coverage outlined in this class guideline shall be fulfilled (i.e. there shall be a normal incidence for the sound to the fusion face in the welds)
— Automatic Ultrasonic Testing, AUT
— for special application during in-service inspection
— testing of objects with temperature outside the range 0°C to 40°C.

2 Definitions and symbols
See Sec.1 [3], in addition the following applies:

— Amplitude: Maximum value of the motion or pressure of a sound wave (echo-height)
— Probe index: Intersection point of the sound beam axis with the probe surface.
— Dead zone: Zone adjacent to the scanning surface within which reflectors of interest are not revealed.
— DAC: Distance Amplitude Curve
— DGS-diagram: Series of curves which shows relationship between distance along a beam and gain in dB for an infinity reflector and different sizes of disc shaped reflectors.
— Back wall echo: Pulse reflected from a boundary surface which is perpendicular to the sound beam axis.
— 6 dB-drop technique: Method for defect size assessment, where the probe is moved from a position showing maximum reflection amplitude until the echo has decreased to its half-value (by 6dB)
— Primary Gain: The gain noted when constructing the DAC using the reference block
— Corrected Primary Gain: Primary Gain plus transfer correction
— dB: Decibel
— S: Skip distance
— FSH: Full Screen Height
— FBH: Flat Bottom Hole
— SDH: Side Drilled Hole.
3 Personnel qualifications

In addition to Sec.1 [5] Personnel qualification the following applies.
Personnel performing ultrasonic testing of welds in austenitic and duplex stainless steel material shall be specially trained and qualified for the purpose according to an EN ISO 9712 based scheme.
All certificates shall state qualifications as to which application/joint-configuration the operator is qualified and certified.

Personnel performing ultrasonic testing of tubular node welds (i.e. tubular TKY connections), shall undergo a practical test in the typical connections to be tested. The practical test shall have a scope as described in EN ISO 9712 for industrial sector, welds (w).

4 Requirements for equipment

4.1 Ultrasonic apparatus

The apparatus shall:
— be applicable for the pulse-echo technique and for the double-probe technique
— cover a minimum frequency range from 1 to 6 MHz
— have a calibrated gain regulator with minimum 2 dB per step over a range of minimum 60 dB
— be equipped with a flat screen accessible from the front for direct plotting of Distance Amplitude Curves (DAC) or be equipped with digital DAC- display presentation
— be able to clearly distinguish echoes with amplitudes of 5% of full screen height.

Each ultrasonic apparatus shall have a calibration certificate with reference to the apparatus serial number. This calibration of the apparatus shall be performed by a company approved by the apparatus manufacturer. The calibration is valid for a maximum of one year. The ultrasonic apparatus serial number shall be included in the examination report.

4.2 Probes

Probes used for testing of welds in C, C-Mn steels and alloy steels shall be straight beam transducers and angle shear-wave transducers of 35° to 70°. When testing is carried out with transverse waves and techniques that require the ultrasonic beam to be reflected from an opposite surface, care shall be taken to ensure that the incident angle of the beam, with the opposite reflecting surface, is not less than 35° and preferably not greater than 70°. Where more than one probe angle is used, at least one of the angle probes used shall conform to this requirement. One of the probe angles used shall ensure that the weld fusion faces are tested at, or as near as possible to, normal incidence. When the use of two or more probe angles is specified, the difference between the nominal beam angles shall be 10° or greater.

The element size of the probe shall be chosen according to the ultrasonic path to be used and the frequency. The smaller the element, the smaller the length and width of the near field and larger the beam spread in the far field at a given frequency.

Small probes having 6 mm to 12 mm diameter elements are best suited for short beam path ranges. For beam path ranges > 100 mm (for single crystal normal beam probes), an element size of 12 mm to 24 mm is preferred. For rectangular elements the following to be chosen:
Table 1 Material thickness and related element size

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material thickness $T$ (mm)</th>
<th>Element size (mm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10 to 30</td>
<td>$8 \times 9$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25 to 80</td>
<td>$14 \times 14$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T &gt; 50$</td>
<td>$20 \times 22$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Probes used for testing of welds in austenitic and austenitic-ferritic (duplex) steel shall be straight beam transducers and twin crystal (transmitter/receiver) compression-wave transducers of 45°, 60° and 70°. In addition and as a combination also similar shear-wave angle probes (see [7.3] and [7.10]) and creep-wave probes shall be used.

Also other angle probes may be used, see [6.8] for *Testing of weld connections – General*.

Probes used for testing of rolled steel plates shall be straight beam transducers, single- or twin crystal.

Probes used for testing of castings and forgings shall be straight beam transducers, single- or twin crystal and angle shear wave transducers.

4.3 Adaptation of probes to curved scanning surfaces

The gap between the test surface and the bottom of the probe shoe shall not be greater than 0.5 mm. For cylindrical or spherical surfaces the requirement will normally be met when the following equation is fulfilled:

$$ D \geq 15A $$

where:

$D$ = the diameter in millimetres of the component

$A$ = the dimension in millimetres of the probe shoe in the direction of scanning.

If this requirement cannot be obtained the probe shoe shall be adapted to the surface and the sensitivity and time base shall be set accordingly.

4.4 Coupling medium

Satisfactory coupling medium, in either fluid or paste form, shall be used to transfer the ultrasound from the probe to the surface of examination object.

Oil, grease, glycerine or paste is well suited for this purpose.

The coupling medium used for calibration shall also be used during testing. Before retesting/verification old couplant shall be removed, if not otherwise qualified due to increased attenuation.

4.5 Calibration blocks

The IIW or ISO calibration blocks (K1 – K2), see Figure 1, shall be used for calibration of time base and for angle determination. These calibration blocks shall preferably have the same acoustic properties as the material to be tested.
Figure 1 Calibration blocks
4.6 Reference blocks for calibration of amplification for testing of welds

Reference blocks shall be made with thickness and side-drilled holes, as described in Table 1 and shown in Figure 2, and shall be used for amplification (gain) calibration and construction of reference (DAC) curves. The reference block shall normally be manufactured from the actual material tested and have approved dimensions.

When ultrasonic testing shall be performed on steel produced by controlled rolling or thermo mechanical treatment (TMCP steel), reference blocks shall be both produced perpendicular to, and parallel to, the direction of rolling. The rolling direction shall be clearly identified, see also [6.4], for more detailed information see App.A.

Ultrasonic testing of welds in austenitic and austenitic-ferritic (duplex) steel requires additional reference blocks to those described in Table 1, see [7.6].

Table 2 Reference block requirements

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Thickness of material to be examined (mm)</th>
<th>Thickness of block (mm)</th>
<th>Diameter of hole (mm)</th>
<th>Distance of hole from one surface (mm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10 &lt; t ≤ 50</td>
<td>40 or T</td>
<td>Ø 3 ± 0.2</td>
<td>T/2 and T/4. Additional holes are allowed and recommended</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50 &lt; t ≤ 100</td>
<td>75 or T</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100 &lt; t ≤ 150</td>
<td>125 or T</td>
<td>Ø 6 ± 0.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150 &lt; t ≤ 200</td>
<td>175 or T</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200 &lt; t ≤ 250</td>
<td>225 or T</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>t &gt; 250</td>
<td>275 or T</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4.7 Periodically check of equipment

Calibration of ultrasonic equipment shall be undertaken according to procedures established according to recognised code or standard, e.g. EN 12668-1-2-3.

Records shall be filed by owner.

Verification of Screen Height Linearity and Amplitude Linearity shall be performed at the beginning of each period of extended use (or every 3 months, whichever is less).

At approximately four-hourly intervals the time base, probe angle and primary gain shall be checked and corrected. Checks shall also be carried out whenever a system parameter is changed or changes in the equivalent settings are suspected.

If deviation is found to be > 2% of time base, > 4 dB of primary gain setting or > 2° of nominal angle probe, the examinations carried out with the equipment over the previous period shall be repeated.

5 Preparation of scanning surfaces

For ultrasonic testing the contact surface shall be clean and smooth, i.e. free from dirt, scale, rust, welding spatter, “old” glue/ couplant etc. which may influence the results of the testing.
6 Testing volume

6.1 Welds in C, C-Mn steels, alloy steels and aluminium

The testing volume is defined as the zone which includes weld and parent material for at least 10 mm on each side of the weld, or the width of the heat affected zone (HAZ), whichever is greater.

The parent metal, in the scanning zone for angle probes, shall be tested with straight beam (normal) probes. The scanning zone is defined as 1.5 × full skip distance (S), see Figure 11.

Scanning of parent material is performed in order to reveal laminations, imperfections, large variations in attenuation or thickness variation, which might influence the angle beam testing.

The welds shall whenever feasible be tested from both sides on the same surface and include scanning for both transverse and longitudinal indications. For T-joints and plate thickness above 40 mm, scanning from both surfaces and all accessible sides shall be performed.

Where configuration or adjacent parts of the object are such that scanning from both sides is not possible this fact shall be included in the report.

Use of multiple angle probes scanning in addition to normal probe scanning is required.

Evaluation of defects is primarily to be based on echo amplitude reflected from the revealed indications.

The indications shall be investigated by maximising the echoes with different angle probes and by rotating the probes. For evaluation of defects the evaluation level stated in the agreed acceptance criteria shall be used.

6.2 Calibration

6.2.1 Calibration of time base with straight beam probe

The calibration of time base with straight beam probe shall be carried out with an IIW calibration block, a K2 calibration block or on a defect free area of the material to be tested.

The time base shall be selected such that there are always at least 2 back-wall echoes (reflections) on the screen.

Figure 3 shows typical calibration in range 0-100 mm, 0-200 mm and 0-500 mm for straight beam probes.
The calibration of angle beam probes shall be carried out on an IIW or K2 calibration block. The range shall be selected in order to cover minimum 1.5 × full skip distance.

Required skip distance (S) is depending of the object thickness and can be calculated as follows:

\[ S = 2 \, T \tan \alpha \]

where

- \( T \) = thickness of object to be tested
- \( \alpha \) = probe angle.

**Figure 3 Calibration of time base with straight beam normal probe**

The calibration of angle beam probes shall be carried out on an IIW or K2 calibration block. The range shall be selected in order to cover minimum 1.5 × full skip distance.
6.2.2 Calibration of time base for twin crystal straight beam probes

Two thicknesses, preferably with thickness a little above and below the thickness to be tested shall be used to reduce the measuring error due to the angled crystals in this type of probe.

The calibration of the time base with twin crystal straight beam probe shall therefore be carried out with a step calibration block, see Figure 4 or the 25 mm thickness on the K1 in combination with the 12.5 mm thickness on the K2 calibration blocks.

Only the first back wall echo shall be used when calibrating the time base with twin crystal normal probe.

The edge triggering mode using the leading edge shall be used for thickness measurements with twin crystal probes. The signal shall be set to 80 % FSH for every measurement. The level of the gate shall be kept at the same level as used during calibration.

![Figure 4 Step calibration block](image)

6.3 Determination of probe angle

![Figure 5](image)
The probe index shall be determined by placing the probe as shown in Figure 5a and by maximising the echo against the cylinder surface with radius 100 mm (IIW) or 50 mm (K2), the echo height is adjusted to about 75% of full screen height. The probe index can now be read off against the mark on the calibration block and marked off on the probe.

The probe angle shall be checked on the IIW block using the index found. The echo from the circular Perspex reflector is maximised and put at 75% of full screen height. The probe angle can now be read off on the calibration block against the engraved centre point, see Figure 6.
6.4 Calibration of amplification

Calibration of the amplification shall include the whole of the ultrasonic system, which are the ultrasonic apparatus, probes, cables and coupling medium.

In order to compensate for attenuation and sound beam spread with increasing sound path a DAC- curve, which gives the echo height from the same reflector at varying distance between probe and reflector, shall be constructed.

DAC shall be constructed using reference blocks with side-drilled holes as described in [4.6]. The reference blocks shall preferably have the same acoustic properties as the material to be tested. If this is not obtained correction for deviation in sound velocity between the calibration block and the object to be tested shall be made. Any deviation can be checked by calibrating the time base on the IIW block with a normal probe and subsequently measure a known material thickness with this calibration.

Whenever ultrasonic testing is performed of welds in TMCP steel the following shall be verified:

- Difference in attenuation between transverse and longitudinal rolling direction shall be checked when the scanning changes from transverse to longitudinal of rolling direction or inverse.
- This requires DAC- curves constructed by use of calibration blocks in both transverse and longitudinal rolling direction. Difference in gain setting shall be noted and taken into consideration when evaluation of imperfections is performed.

The nominal angle of probes used are normally valid for C, C-Mn steels and alloy steel with compression wave velocity of approximately 5 900 m/s and shear wave velocity of approximately 3 200 m/s.

When examination shall be carried out of welds in TMCP steel and aluminium the actual beam angle shall be determined. The angle can be calculated using trigonometric functions as long as the distance and depth to the reflectors in the TMCP steel or aluminium calibration block is known. See more information regarding TMCP in App.A.

6.5 Construction of reference curve, DAC

**Angle probes:**

The echo reflected from the drilled hole in the reference block, see [4.6], is maximised and the gain control regulated so that the echo amplitude is 75% of full screen height (FSH).

This gain setting is called the primary gain and shall be noted. Without altering the primary gain, the probe is positioned in various skip distances as indicated on Figure 2 and the respective echo amplitudes are marked on the screen.

These points are connected with a smooth line with a length, which covers the required scanning area. This is the reference curve (DAC).

The first point of DAC shall be selected so that the distance in sound path from the probe index to the drilled hole is not less than 0.6 N where N is the near field length of the relevant probe.

When DAC has been set up it is recommended to establish additional curves on the screen representing the evaluation level and the reporting level in question. Where DAC due to excessive sound paths falls below 25% of FSH, the gain in this area shall be increased. See Figure 7.
If the ultrasonic apparatus is fitted with a time corrected gain (TCG) correction, this can be used for angle and straight beam probes. The echo amplitude reflected from the drilled hole in the calibration can be adjusted to 75% of full screen height over the whole of the time base in question. DAC will thus be a horizontal line. The gain setting is noted and comprises the primary gain.

**Straight beam probes:**

For testing of weld connections using straight beam probes the side drilled hole (SDH) in the reference block shall be used for gain setting as for the angle probes. In order to have relevant DAC, the curve shall be established as indicated on Figure 8.

Figure 8 indicates calibration on two different reference blocks. See also Table 1.
6.6 Transfer correction

Any possible difference in attenuation and surface character between the reference block and the object to be tested shall be checked in the following way: For angle probes, two of the same type as those to be utilized during the testing shall be used. The probes are placed on the object to be tested as shown on Figure 9. One of the probes works as transmitter probe, whilst the other acts as receiver. The first echo is maximised and with the aid of the gain control it is adjusted to reach DAC. The gain setting is noted. Without altering this gain setting the probes are moved to the reference block. The echo is adjusted to reach DAC and the gain setting is noted.

Any difference in echo amplitude between the two materials can now be determined with the aid of the gain control.

If the differences are less than 2 dB, correction is not required.

If the differences are greater than 2 dB but smaller than 12 dB, they shall be compensated for.

If transfer losses exceed 12 dB, the reason shall be considered and further preparation of the scanning surfaces shall be carried out, if applicable.
When there are no apparent reasons for high correction values, the attenuation, at various locations on the test object shall be measured. Where it is found to vary significantly, corrective actions shall be considered.

**Figure 9 Attenuation and transfer correction**
6.7 Testing of parent material

The examination shall be performed in order to reveal possible imperfections, which might influence the angle probe testing.

The whole of the area \((1.5 \times S)\) which will transfer ultrasound when using angle probes shall be tested. The gain setting shall be calibrated on a defect free place on the parent material. The second back wall echo shall be set to 75% of FSH Imperfections with a cross section larger than the sound beam (loss of back wall echo) shall be reported. The extent of the imperfections is measured with the aid of the 6 dB-drop method when complete loss of back wall echo occurs.


6.8 Testing of weld connections - general

Testing of weld connections shall be undertaken for the purpose of revealing possible:

— Imperfections in the parent metal and in the transition between weld and parent metal.
— Imperfections in the weld metal and HAZ.

In addition to straight beam probe angle probes shall be used for the testing, see Table 2.

Choice of angle probes is depending on material thickness, weld bevel and type of defect being sought. With reference to DNV GL rules for classification - Ships RU SHIP Pt.2 Ch.3, minimum angle probes to be applied shall be in accordance with ISO 17640, if not minimum two angle probes are applied, see Table 2 With reference to the Society's document DNVGL OS C401, minimum two angle probes shall be applied. The following angle probes are recommended to be used:

**Table 3 Parent material thickness and related probe angle**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parent material thickness, (T)</th>
<th>Probe angle</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10 to 15 mm</td>
<td>60° and 70°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15 to 40 mm</td>
<td>45°, 60° and 70°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(T &gt; 40 \text{ mm})</td>
<td>45°, 60° and 70°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(70° when (\frac{1}{2}) V or K groove)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A favourable probe angle when the weld connections are being tested for lack of fusion in the transition between weld and parent material is the angle which gives incident sound normal to the angle of the weld bevel. The optimal angle for a V-groove is given by the groove geometry and can be calculated as shown in Figure 10. If the calculated angle does not comply with any standard probe angle, the nearest larger probe angle shall be selected. When use of two or more angle probes is specified, the difference between the nominal beam angles shall be 10° or greater.

![Figure 10 Detection of side wall lack of fusion](image-url)
The gain which shall be used in the evaluation of the imperfection is the primary gain. When scanning, the gain shall be the corrected primary gain plus 6 dB in order to increase the sensitivity to defects with a difficult orientation. The gain shall then be reduced to the corrected primary dB level when defect evaluation is carried out. The evaluation level stated in the acceptance criteria shall be used. During testing of the weld, the noise level, excluding spurious surface indications, shall remain at least 12 dB below the evaluation level. This requirement may be relaxed but shall be specified prior to testing. For evaluation of defects, the evaluation curve shall be used. The length of the imperfections shall be evaluated by maximising the echo amplitude in the middle of the defect. Subsequently, the probe is traversed towards the edge of the imperfection until the echo amplitude has dropped to the required evaluation level. The centre of the probe is then marked off as the edge of the imperfection, see Figure 11.

![Figure 11 Evaluation of length of the defect](image)

**Figure 11 Evaluation of length of the defect**

**6.8.1 Testing of butt welds**

**6.8.1.1 Use of angle probes for detection of longitudinal imperfections**

Where possible the welds shall be tested from one surface of the plate and from both sides of the weld connection. The angle probe is placed on the parent material in such a way that the sound beam is normal to the weld. The probe shall be moved forwards and backwards in the scanning area of 1.5 × S. During this movement the probe shall be continuously turned 5° to 10° in the horizontal plane, as intimated on Figure 12. For plate thickness greater than 40 mm it shall be tested from all accessible side and surfaces (4) of the weld.
Figure 12 Probe movement for testing of butt welds - for x-groove and v-groove

The double probe (tandem) technique can be used for the detection of imperfections with the reflection normal to the surface, see Figure 13. Ultrasonic tandem technique shall be used for weld bevel angle less than 15°. Two separate angle probes are used, and the most favourable sound beam angle, which covers the area in question, is selected. For this type of testing it is recommended to make a holder for the probes, so that the distance A between the probes is kept constant. The probe combination is moved along the weld connection in the distance B from the centerline.
Figure 13 Double probe technique
6.8.1.2 Use of angle probes for detection of transverse imperfections

Transverse imperfections can be detected by placing the probe on the surface along the centre line of the weld connection provided the surface finish is sufficient smooth. Alternatively the probe can be placed alongside the weld connection, so that the beam forms a small angle with the centreline, see Figure 14a. Another method using two separate probes is shown in the same figure (double probe technique). If using an angle probe along the centre line of the weld is judged to be the only method of reliable examination, the weld cap shall be ground flush or smooth with the parent material, see Figure 14b. The probe is then moved along the centreline, so that the entire weld is covered. Scanning is in all cases to be performed from both sides of the weld and in both directions.

6.8.1.3 Use of straight beam probes for detection of weld imperfections

Weld imperfections with a reflection surface parallel to the scanning surface can be detected with a normal probe. The probe is placed on the weld and moved along and across the weld connection so that the whole joint is examined. The weld should preferably be ground flush.

6.8.2 Testing of T joints

Examination of T-joints with 1/2V or K-groove is carried out as for butt welds. The scanning area for the probes is shown in Figure 15.
7 Welds in austenitic stainless and ferritic-austenitic (duplex) stainless steel

7.1 General

Ultrasonic testing of welds in austenitic stainless steel and ferritic-austenitic stainless steel requires special equipment especially in the area of reference blocks and probes to be used.
Due to the coarse grain structure of the material and the weld metal in particular a probe, which generates compression waves at angles, shall be used in addition to straight beam - and angle shear wave probes. Physical properties of stainless steels results in a variation of grain size and structure which entails variation in attenuation and imperfection detectability.

The testing shall be carried out in accordance with specific developed written UT- procedures for the item in question or procedure qualification if found necessary and shall be approved by the Society.

Scan plans shall be provided to the procedures, showing probe placement, movement, and testing coverage. The scan plans shall also include the beam angles used, beam directions with respect to weld centreline, and weld volume tested.

7.2 Personnel qualifications
For personnel qualifications see [3].

7.3 Probes
For selection of probes, see [4.2].
It shall be verified using reference blocks with actual weld connections, see [7.6] whether angle shear wave probes are suitable.
In general, a combination using both shear and compression wave angle probes is recommended in addition to straight beam (normal (0°)) and creep wave probes.
The detectability of “open to surface” imperfections like incomplete penetration and lack of fusion may increase using shear wave probes. Sub surface defects closed to the scanning surface shall be detected by use of creep wave probes.

7.4 Adaptation of probes to curved scanning surfaces
See [4.3]

7.5 Coupling medium
See [4.4]. In addition, for austenitic stainless steel it is needed to restrict impurities such as sulphur, halogens and alkali metals in the couplant.

7.6 Calibration blocks for calibration of amplification
The basic calibration blocks described in [4.5] shall be used.
In addition reference blocks, prepared from test material, containing welds produced in accordance with the actual WPS shall be used for establishing of DAC. These reference blocks shall have drilled holes (Ø 3 mm or Ø 6 mm depending on thickness) positioned in depths of 1/4 T, 1/2 T and 3/4 T. The drilled holes (reflectors) shall be located as shown in Figure 16. The thickness of the reference block needs to be sufficient in order to encompass a DAC curve covering the whole thickness to be tested.

![Figure 16 Reference block for ultrasonic testing of welds in austenitic and austenitic-ferritic steel](image-url)
Figure 17 Calibration of amplification

Guidance note:
Reflector holes shall be drilled in both fusion lines whenever two dissimilar materials are welded to each other.

Reference blocks for creep wave probes shall contain 0.5, 1.0 and 2.0 mm spark eroded notches at the scanning surfaces, See Figure 18.
The surface condition of the reference blocks shall be similar to the condition of the parent material to be examined (scanned).
7.7 Preparation of scanning surfaces
See [5].

7.8 Testing volume
See [6.1].

7.9 Calibration

7.9.1 Calibration of time base
Calibration of probe delay and sound velocity (time base) shall be carried out with the use of a duplex K2 block as indicated in Figure 19. See also [6.2].
7.9.2 Control of probe angle

See [6.3].

7.10 Calibration of amplification and construction of DAC

DAC curves shall be constructed from the drilled holes in the parent material of the reference blocks, see Table 1 and Figure 17.

A maximum response shall then be obtained from the holes in the weld fusion zone and if necessary the gain setting shall be adjusted such that this response reach DAC, see Figure 17. This shall be the primary gain to be used when locating indications on the fusion boundary in those cases where the ultrasonic beam is passing through the parent metal only.

Another set of DAC curves shall be constructed, as shown in Figure 17, in order to establish sensitivity levels for instance where the ultrasound is traversing the weld material, when scanning the fusion face. These sensitivity levels shall be verified against the holes drilled in the base material. Any variations shall be noted so that echoes reflected from indications within the weld zone can be evaluated for amplitude response.

It shall be verified on reference blocks with welds produced in accordance with the actual WPS if an 1.5 × S (full skip scanning) is possible to obtain using shear wave angle probes. Note that angle compression wave probes can only be used at ½ S scanning.

The eroded notches on the surface of the reference block, see Figure 18, for creep wave probes shall be used for sensitivity setting. It is recommended to adjust the echo response from the 1.0 mm notch to 75% of FSH.
7.11 Transfer correction
Due to the fact that compression wave angle probes can only be used on ½ skip, transfer correction, as described the production material.

7.12 Testing of parent material and welds
See [6.7].

8 Evaluation of imperfections in weld connections
Imperfections, from which the reflected echo response is exceeding the evaluation level given in the acceptance criteria, shall be investigated to the extent that the operator can determine the shape, identity and location of all such imperfections and evaluate them in terms of the acceptance criteria.

The length of the imperfection shall be determined by measuring the distance along the length over which the echo amplitude exceeds the evaluation level. Final evaluation against the acceptance criteria shall be based on the echo amplitude and length measured with the probe angle giving the maximum response.

All defects exceeding the acceptance criteria shall be reported unless more stringent requirements for reporting are agreed.

9 Acceptance criteria, weld connections
Whenever acceptance criteria are defined in the rules, approved drawings, IACS Recommendations or other agreed product standards, these criteria are mandatory.

Unless otherwise agreed, all indications in the test volume (defined in [6.1]) shall follow the acceptance criteria for the weld connection. However, indications found in the parent metal and heat affected zone (as included in the test volume defined in [6.1]), and judged "beyond reasonable doubt" to be laminar imperfections originating from the plate rolling process, may follow the acceptance criteria for the plate ([11.7]).

If no acceptance criteria are defined, acceptance criteria as specified below may be applied for welds in C, CMn steels, alloy steels, aluminium, austenitic stainless steel and ferritic-austenitic stainless steel.
### Table 4 Acceptance criteria for ultrasonic testing

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ECHO HEIGHT</th>
<th>ACCEPTANCE CRITERIA FOR ULTRASONIC TESTING1)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Equal or greater than 100% of DAC Curve</td>
<td>maximum length t/2 or 25mm whichever is the less</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greater than 50% of DAC curve but less than 100% of DAC curve</td>
<td>maximum length t or 50mm whichever is the less</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Indications above the evaluation level and evaluated to be two dimensional imperfection, such like cracks, lack of fusion and lack of penetration, are unacceptable regardless of echo height;

- Characterisation of indications are based on echo amplitude – (stage 1 and 2) and directional reflectivity criteria (stage 3) given in ISO 23279:
  - **Low amplitudes (stage 1)**
    An indication having echo amplitude lower than the evaluation level is not significant and shall not be characterised.
  - **High amplitude (stage 2)**
    An indication having echo amplitude that is at least equal to the reference level plus 6 dB is a planar defect.
  - **Directional reflectivity criteria (stage 3)**
    By comparing the maximum echo amplitude from an indication using two probe angles having different refraction angles of more than 10° the difference shall be more than 9 dB. When comparing the amplitude from a shear wave angle probe and a compression wave normal probe, the difference shall be more than 15 dB.

DAC is based on a Ø 3 mm side drilled hole.

The above criteria are considered to comply with acceptance level 2 and 3 of EN ISO 11666 and correspond to quality levels B and C of EN ISO 5817, ref. correlation given in EN ISO 17635.

In addition the following applies: All indications from which the reflected echo amplitude exceeds the evaluation level shall be characterized and all that are characterized as planar (e.g. cracks, lack of fusion and incomplete penetration) shall be rejected, ref. EN ISO 11666.

### 10 Reporting, weld connections

In addition to the items listed under item Sec.1 [11] Final report, the following shall be included in the ultrasonic testing report:

- probes, type and frequency
- identification of reference blocks used
- couplant medium
- reporting level, if different from acceptance level
- calibration time base
- scanning technique, half skip or full skip scanning.
- transfer correction
- defect type (At least defined as Spherical, Volume or Planar)
- example of report sheet with defect notes, see Figure 20.
11 Ultrasonic testing of rolled steel plates

This subsection covers manual testing of rolled plates in carbon and alloy steel with thickness ≥ 6.0 mm for the detection of imperfections which are oriented parallel with the rolled surface. The intention of the ultrasonic testing shall ensure that the steel plates are free of gross discontinuities such as planar inclusions or laminations.

11.1 Personnel qualifications and requirements for equipment

11.1.1 Personnel
For systems for personnel qualifications see Sec.1 [5] and Sec.6 [3]. However if the testing is restricted only to thickness properties of rolled steel plates, level 1 certification in UT is sufficient.

11.1.2 Ultrasonic apparatus
The apparatus shall:
— be applicable for the pulse-echo technique and for the double-probe technique
— cover a minimum frequency range from 1 to 6 MHz
— have a calibrated gain regulator with minimum 2 dB pr. step over a range of minimum 60 dB
— be equipped with a flat screen accessible from the front for direct plotting of Distance Amplitude Curves (DAC) or be equipped with automatic DAC- display presentation
— have the opportunity for mounting distance grain size (DGS) -scales on the screen
— be able to clearly distinguish echoes with amplitudes of 5% of full screen height.

11.2 Probes
The probes shall be straight beam transducers (normal probes) single- or twin crystal.
Twin crystal probes shall be used when examination is performed on steel plates with nominal thickness T < 60 mm.
Single or twin crystal probes can be used when testing is performed on steel plates with nominal thickness T ≥ 60 mm.
The single crystal probes shall have a dead zone as small as possible, e.g. 15% of the plate thickness or 15 mm whichever is the smaller. The focusing zone of the twin crystal probes shall be adapted to the thickness of the plate to be examined.
Selected probes shall have a nominal frequency in the range of 2 MHz to 5 MHz and dimensions Ø 10 mm to Ø 25 mm.

11.3 Coupling medium and surface conditions
The coupling medium shall ensure an adequate contact between the probe and the surface of the steel plate to be tested. Water is normally used but other coupling media, e.g. oil or paste, may be used.
The surface condition shall permit at least two successive back-wall echoes to be distinguished when the probe is placed on any area free from internal imperfections.

11.4 Calibration

11.4.1 Calibration of time base
The calibration of time base shall be carried out using an IIW calibration block, a K2 calibration block or on a defect free area of the material to be examined.
The time base shall be selected such that there are always at least 2 back-wall echoes (reflections) on the screen.

11.4.2 Calibration of sensitivity
The calibration of sensitivity is based on echoes reflected from flat bottom holes in reference blocks of carbon steel. Characteristics curves corresponding to flat bottom holes with various diameters can be supplied by the manufacturer of the probes. The curves are either presented on a DGS diagram or on DGS - scales “attachment scales” to be mounted on the screen of the ultrasonic apparatus.
The DGS - scales, which are most commonly used, are developed from the DGS diagrams. Differently sized reflectors (flat bottom holes “FBH”) can be correlated to the evaluating curves. The FBH reflectors are used as reference sizes for evaluating echo amplitudes.
By using a DGS - scale it is possible to evaluate echo amplitudes reflected from imperfections quickly and directly. The evaluation is done by measuring the dB distance from an evaluation curve.

11.5 Evaluation of imperfections
Only imperfections from which the reflected echo amplitude is greater than that of the characteristic curve of an Ø11 mm FBH shall be taken into account.
The area of the imperfections shall be determined using the 6 dB-drop technique whenever complete loss of back wall echo is obtained, see Figure 21.

Figure 21 Half value method

Using single crystal probes the imperfections giving echoes above the characteristic curve for the Ø11 mm FBH shall be counted and evaluated against the acceptance criteria.

Two nearby imperfections shall be considered as one, the area being equal to the sum of the two, if the distance between them is less than or equal to the length of the smaller of the two.

11.6 Scanning

Scanning comprises in general continuous examination along the lines of a grid made of a 200 mm square parallel to the edges of the plate, or along parallel or oscillating lines distributed uniformly over the surface, giving the same degree of control.

Scanning of plate edges comprises a full examination of zone in accordance with Table 4 over the four edges of the plate.

Table 5 Zone width for steel plate edges

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Thickness of plate, T, (mm)</th>
<th>Zone width (mm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10 ≤ T &lt; 50</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50 ≤ T &lt; 100</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
11.7 Acceptance criteria

Whenever acceptance criteria are defined in the rules, approved drawings, IACS Recommendations or other agreed product standards, these criteria are mandatory. If no acceptance criteria are specified the quality class S1 – E2 of EN 10160, see Tables 5, Table 6 and Table 7, applies.

Table 6 Acceptance criteria for testing with twin crystal probes for steel plates T < 60 mm

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Class S1</th>
<th>Unacceptable</th>
<th>Acceptable clusters of discontinuities</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Individual discontinuity (mm²)</td>
<td>Area*considered (mm²)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A &gt; 1000</td>
<td>100 &lt; A ≤ 1000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Area of each discontinuity in the cluster in question

Table 7 Acceptance criteria for testing with normal (single crystal) probes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Class S1</th>
<th>Unacceptable</th>
<th>Acceptable clusters of discontinuities</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Individual discontinuity (mm²)</td>
<td>Dimensions* (number considered) (mm²)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A &gt; 1000</td>
<td>100 &lt; A ≤ 1000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Area of each discontinuity in the cluster in question

Table 8 Acceptance criteria for edge zone examination

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Class</th>
<th>Permissible individual Discontinuity size</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Maximum dimension (L_{\text{max}}) (mm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E2</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

11.8 Reporting, rolled steel plates

In addition to the items listed under Sec.1 [11] Final report, the following shall be included in the ultrasonic testing report:

- probes, type and frequency
- identification of reference blocks used
- couplant medium
- reporting level, if different from acceptance level.
12 Ultrasonic testing of castings

This subsection covers manual testing of castings, carbon, low-alloy and martensitic stainless steel using the flat bottom hole calibration technique.

The intention of the testing shall reveal unacceptable internal imperfections. Testing shall be carried out after final heat treatment when the casting surface has been brought to a condition suitable for UT.

As an alternative to the flat bottom hole calibration technique the DGS technique may, upon agreement with the Society, be accepted. The DGS technique is described in [13] Ultrasonic testing of Forgings.

The back wall echo obtained on parallel sections should be used to monitor variations in probe coupling and material attenuation. Any reduction in the amplitude of the back wall echo without evidence of intervening defects should be corrected. Attenuation in excess of 30 dB/m could be indicative of an unsatisfactory annealing heat treatment.

12.1 Personnel qualifications and requirements for equipment

12.1.1 Personnel

See Sec.6 [3] Personnel qualification.

In addition, the personnel shall be familiar and trained with use of flat bottom hole calibration technique.

12.1.2 Ultrasonic Apparatus

The apparatus shall:

— be applicable for the pulse-echo technique and for the double-probe technique
— cover a minimum frequency range from 1 to 6 MHz
— have a calibrated gain regulator with minimum 2 dB pr. step over a range of minimum 60 dB
— be equipped with a flat screen accessible from the front for direct plotting of 'Distance Amplitude Curves' (DAC) or be equipped with automatic DAC- display presentation
— be able to clearly distinguish echoes with amplitudes of 5% of full screen height.

12.1.3 Probes

The probes shall be straight beam transducers (normal probes) single- or twin crystal. Twin crystal probes shall be used when testing is performed on castings with nominal thickness T ≤ 25 mm.

Selected probes shall have dimensions Ø 10 mm to Ø 30 mm. The background noise shall not exceed 25% of DAC.

Supplementary:

Angle beam probes shall be used only when agreed upon between the contracting parties or required by the Society. Typical applications are castings that cannot be effectively tested using a straight beam probe as a result of casting design or possible discontinuity orientation.

It is recommended to use probes producing angle beam in steel in the range 35° to 75° inclusive, measured to the perpendicular of the entire surface of the casting being tested.

As a minimum a 45° probe shall be used.

12.2 Surface preparation and coupling medium

All surfaces to be examined shall be free of any substance which may impede the free movement of the probe or hinder the transmission of ultrasound to the material. Machined surfaces should be preferred for the final examination.

As coupling medium oil, grease or cellulose gum will be used. The coupling medium used for calibration shall also be used for examination.
12.3 Calibration of time base
The same equipment shall be used during calibration and examination, e.g. apparatus, probes, cables and coupling medium.
The temperature of the test object and the calibration-/reference blocks shall be within ± 14°C.
Calibration of time base with normal probes shall be performed using K1/K2 calibration blocks or the reference block for calibration of amplification.
The time base for normal probes shall be selected such that there are always at least 2 back-wall echoes (reflections) on the screen.
The range for the angle probe shall cover min. 1 × S (skip distance) if scanning is accessible only from one surface. If scanning is possible from two surfaces (inside/outside) 0.5 × S is sufficient.

12.4 Reference blocks
Basis for the calibration is a set of test blocks containing flat bottoms holes. The reference blocks shall have the same acoustic properties/material grade as the material to be examined.
In addiction the blocks shall be stamped with the reference charge/heat number for traceability to the actual material certificate, and also be given the same heat treatment as the test object.
The following blocks shall be used:

12.4.1 Block no 1
Ultrasonic Standard Reference Blocks as specified in ASTM A609/A609M 4.3.3, Figure 1 and Table 1.
The blocks are used for calibration of the normal probes. The dimension of the blocks is depending of the thickness of the test object. The basic set shall consist of those blocks listed in Table 1. When section thicknesses over 380 mm shall be tested, an additional block of the maximum test thickness shall be made to supplement the basic set. The drilled hole acting as reference reflector is a flat bottom hole with diameter 6.4 mm.

12.4.2 Block no. 2
Ultrasonic Standard Reference Block for calibration of twin crystal (transmitter/receiver T/R) probes. The block shall be machined and contain 2.4 mm drilled holes in various depths as shown in ASTM A609/A609M 4.3.3, Figure 2. The block shall be used for calibration of the T/R Probe for examination of objects with thickness ≤ 25 mm.

12.4.3 Block no 3
Basic Calibration block for angle Beam Examination is shown in ASTM A609/A609M Figure S1.1.

12.5 Calibration of amplification

12.5.1 Straight beam probes
Calibration of the amplification shall include the whole of the ultrasonic system, this includes the ultrasonic apparatus, probes, cables and coupling medium.
The blocks that encompass the metal thickness to be inspected shall be used for calibration.
The range of the screen should be selected to be twice the thickness of the object. Establish the DAC using the set of reference blocks spanning the thickness containing the applicable flat bottom holes.
The casting testing surface will normally be rougher than that of the test blocks; consequently, employ a transfer mechanism to provide approximate compensation. In order to accomplish this, first select a region of the casting that has parallel walls and a surface condition representative of the rest of the casting as a transfer point. Next select the test block whose thickness most closely matches the thickness of the test object.
Place the search unit on the casting at the transfer point and adjust the instrument gain until the back reflection amplitude through the casting matches that through the test block.

Using this transfer technique the variation in attenuation/surface condition between the calibration block and test object can be found and taken into consideration.

Do not change those instrument controls and the test frequency set during calibration, except the attenuator, or calibrated gain control, during acceptance examination of a given thickness of the casting. Make a periodic calibration during the inspection by checking the amplitude of response from the 6.4 mm (2.4 mm for T/R probes) diameter flat-bottom hole in the test block utilized for the transfer.

The attenuator or calibrated gain control may be used to change the signal amplitude during examination to permit small amplitude signals to be more readily detected. Signal evaluation is made by returning the attenuator or calibrated gain control to its original setting.

During examination of areas of casting having parallel walls recheck areas showing 75% or greater loss of back reflection to determine whether loss of back reflection is due to poor contact, insufficient couplant, misoriented discontinuity, etc. If the reason for loss of back reflection is not evident, consider the area questionable and investigate further.

### 12.5.2 Angle Probe

The angle probe shall be calibrated using a set of calibration blocks with side-drilled holes at 1/4 t, 1/2 t and 3/4 t (where t = thickness of the block).

The hole diameter is depending on the thickness of the casting being tested.

Use the reflection (amplitude) from the side drilled holes to establish the applicable DAC as described in [6.4].

The basic calibration blocks shall be made of material that is acoustically similar to the casting being examined.

A machined calibration block shall be used for machined surfaces.

### 12.6 Scanning

All surfaces specified for ultrasonic testing shall be completely inspected from both sides, whenever both sides are accessible. Where scanning is restricted to one side only scanning shall be performed using a twin crystal probe for the near surface scans (25 mm below surface) and a single probe for the remaining volume.

When practical radial and axial scanning shall be performed.

The scanning rate shall not exceed 100 mm/s.

The operators shall ensure complete coverage of all areas specified for testing by carrying out systematically overlapping of scans. Minimum scanning speed shall not exceed 100 mm/s and the each pass of the search unit shall overlap a minimum of 10% of the active transducer (piezoelectric element).

### 12.7 Reporting, casting

In addition to the items listed under Sec.1 [11] Final report, the following shall be included in the ultrasonic testing report:

All indications from which the reflected echo response are greater than 100% of DAC shall be reported.

Areas showing 75% or greater loss of back reflection shall be reported if, upon further investigation, the reduction of reflection is evaluated to be caused by discontinuities.

### 12.8 Acceptance criteria

Whenever acceptance criteria are defined in the rules, approved drawings, IACS Recommendations or other agreed product standards, these criteria are mandatory. For hull castings, IACS Recommendation No. 69 is regarded as an example of an acceptable standard.
13 Ultrasonic testing of forgings
This subsection covers manual testing of forgings of carbon or low-alloy steel using the straight- and angle
beam technique. The straight beam technique utilised is the DGS (Distance Gain Size) method.
The intention of the testing shall reveal unacceptable internal discontinuities.
Final testing shall be carried out after heat treatment when the forging surface has been brought to a
condition suitable for UT.

13.1 Personnel qualifications and requirement for equipment
13.1.1 Personnel
In addition to Sec.6 [3] the personnel shall be familiar and trained for use of the DGS method.
13.1.2 Ultrasonic Apparatus
The apparatus shall:
— be applicable for the pulse-echo technique and for the double-probe technique
— cover a minimum frequency range from 1 to 6 MHz
— have a calibrated gain regulator with minimum 2 dB pr. step over a range of minimum 60 dB
— be equipped with a flat screen accessible from the front for direct plotting of "Distance Amplitude
Curves" (DAC) or be equipped with automatic DAC- display presentation
— have the opportunity for mounting DGS-scales on the screen
— be able to clearly distinguish echoes with amplitudes of 5% of full screen height.
13.1.3 Probes
Straight beam (normal) probes with frequency 2-4 MHz and dimension Ø 10-30 mm shall be used. Angle
beam probe shall be used as supplementary testing on rings, hollow and cylindrical sections.
It is recommended to use probes producing angle beam in steel in the range 35° to 75° inclusive, measured
to the perpendicular of the entire surface of the casting being tested. As a minimum a 45° probe shall be
used.

13.2 Surface preparation and coupling medium
All surfaces to be tested shall be free of any substance which may impede the free movement of the probe
or hinder the transmission of ultrasound to the material. Machined surfaces should be preferred for the final
examination.
Unless otherwise specified the forgings shall be machined to provide cylindrical surfaces for radial testing
in the cases of round forgings; the ends of the forgings shall be machined perpendicular to the axis of the
forging for the axial testing. Faces of disk and rectangular forgings shall be machined flat and parallel to one
another.
As coupling medium oil, grease or cellulose gum will be used. The coupling medium used for calibration shall
also be used for examination.
13.3 Calibration of time base

The same equipment shall be used during calibration and examination, e.g. apparatus, probes, cables and coupling medium.

The time base for normal probes shall be selected such that there always are at least 2 back-wall echoes (reflections) on the screen.

The time base for the angle probe shall cover min. 1 × S (skip distance) if scanning is accessible only from one surface. If scanning is possible from two surfaces (inside/outside) 0.5 × S is sufficient.

13.4 Calibration of amplification

13.4.1 Probes

13.4.1.1 Normal probes

DGS scales, matched to the ultrasonic test unit and probes, shall be used for straight-beam testing. The DGS scale time base shall be selected to include the full thickness cross-section of the forging to be tested.

Insert the DGS scale on the ultrasonic apparatus cathode-ray tube (CRT) screen ensuring the DGS scale baseline coincides with the sweep line of the CRT screen. Place the probe on the forging and adjust the first backwall echo to appear clearly on the CRT screen at the value corresponding to the thickness of the forging.

Adjust the gain so the forging back wall echo matches the height of the DGS reference slope within ± 1 dB. Once adjusted, increase the gain by the dB value shown on the DGS scale for the reference slope.

The instrument is now calibrated and can be used for all solid-cylinder forgings (non-drilled) and plane backwall forgings. If the ultrasonic test unit is equipment with digital DGS, Calibration Interface Program this program, allowing creating specific DGS scales electronically, might be used.

Testing cylindrical hollow forgings the hole of the specimens causes sound scatter. In these cases a correction depending of the specimen thickness and the hole diameter is required.

Determine the correction value in dB from the Nomogram shown in ASTM A388/A-388M, Figure X4.2 Nomogram.

Proceed as described above. Using the gain “Gain-dB” control reduce the flaw detector by the correction value determined using the Nomogram.

The apparatus is then calibrated for testing cylindrical bored or hollow forgings.

13.4.1.2 Angle probe

Rings and hollow sections with an outside to inside diameter (OD/ID) less than 2.0 to 1.0 should be tested using angle probes, at least 45° probe as a supplement to the normal probe. Forgings which cannot be tested axially using normal probes, are also to be tested with the use of angle probes, min. 45° probe.

Calibrate the apparatus for the angle beam testing to obtain an indication amplitude of approximately 75% of FSH from a rectangular or 60° V-notch on inside diameter in the axial direction and parallel to the axis of the forgings to be tested.

A separate calibration standard (block) may be used. However, it shall have the same configuration, nominal composition, heat treatment and thickness as the forgings it represents.

Where a group of identical forgings is made, one of the forgings may be used as the separate calibration standard.

Cut the ID depth notch to 3% maximum of the thickness or 6 mm, whichever is smaller, and its length to approximately 25 mm. At the same instrument setting, obtain a reflection from a similar OD notch. Draw a line through the peaks of the first reflections obtained from the ID and OD notches. This shall be the amplitude reference line (“DAC”).

When practical utilise the ID notch when scanning from the OD surface and the OD notch when scanning from the ID surface. Curve wedges or probe-shoes may be used when necessary for a proper contact between probe and testing surface.
13.5 Scanning

13.5.1 Normal probes
All surfaces specified for ultrasonic testing shall be completely inspected from both sides, whenever both sides are accessible. Where access is restricted to one side only scanning shall be performed using a twin crystal probe for the near surface scans (25 mm below surface) plus a single probe for the remaining volume. When practical both radial and axial scanning shall be performed.

On larger diameter rudder stocks and especially axial scanning, the Pulse Repetition Frequency (PRF) shall be limited to max. 150 Hz to avoid false signals due to interference on larger dimensions. The scanning rate shall not exceed 100 mm/s.

The operators shall ensure complete coverage of all areas specified for testing by carrying out systematically overlapping of scans. In general the testing shall be carried out prior to drilling holes, tapers, grooves, or machining sections to contour.

13.5.2 Angle probes
Rings and hollow sections as specified in item [13.4.1.2] shall be tested using angle probe. The testing shall be performed by scanning over the entire surface area circumferentially in both the clockwise and counter clockwise direction from the OD surface.

Forgings which cannot be tested axially by normal probes shall be tested in both axial directions with an angle beam probe. For axial scanning the notches as specified in item [13.4.1.2] shall be used for calibration. These notches, placed on the ID and OD surface, shall be perpendicular to the axis of the forging and have the same dimensions as the axial notch.

13.6 Sizing of imperfections
In general, the area containing imperfections, shall be sized (area and length) using the 6 dB drop technique. The area refers to the surface area on the forgings over which a continuous indication exceeds the acceptance criteria. This area will be approximately equal to the area of the real defect provided the defect size is larger than the 6 dB beam profile of the probe.

However, if the real imperfection size is smaller than the 6 dB beam profile, the 6 dB drop technique is not suited for sizing. The area measured on the surface will, in such cases, be measured too large and not represent the real indication size.

A guide to classify if the revealed indications are greater or smaller than the 6 dB drop profile is given in EN 10228-3, para. 13.

If the size of the indication is evaluated to be smaller than the 6 dB drop profile at the depth of discontinuity a graphic plot, that incorporates a consideration of beam spread, should be used for realistic size estimation. In certain forgings, because of very long metal path distances or curvature of the scanning surfaces, the surface area over which a given discontinuity is detected may be considerably larger or smaller than the actual size of the discontinuity; in such cases criteria that incorporate a consideration of beam angles or beam spread shall be used for realistic size evaluation.

This might include calibration blocks identical with the forgings to be tested. In cases of dispute flat bottom holes or notches, drilled or machined in the calibration blocks, can act as reflectors to verify the correct defect size.

13.7 Reporting, forgings
In addition to the items listed under Sec. 1 [11] Final report, the following shall be included in the ultrasonic testing report:

When using normal probes:
— All indication from which the reflected echo response exceeds the specified DGS acceptance criteria shall be reported.
— An indication that is continuous on the same plane and found over an area larger than twice the probe diameter shall be reported regardless of echo amplitude.
— Areas showing 20 % or greater loss of back reflection shall be reported if, upon further investigation, the reduction of reflection is evaluated to be caused by discontinuities.

When using angle probes:
— Record discontinuities indications equal to or exceeding 50% of the indication from the reference line.

The above reportable indications do not themselves mean that an item will be rejected, unless specified in the acceptance criteria.

13.8 Acceptance criteria
Whenever acceptance criteria are defined in the rules, approved drawings, IACS Recommendations or other agreed product standards, these criteria are mandatory. For hull and machinery steel forgings, IACS Recommendation No.68 is regarded as an example of an acceptable standard.

Any reflections caused by discontinuities, exceeding 20% of full screen height, are not acceptable.
SECTION 7 VISUAL TESTING

1 Scope
This section specifies visual testing of fusion welds in metallic materials. It may also be applied to visual testing of joints prior to welding.

2 Information required prior to testing
See general information under Sec.1 Introduction.

3 Requirements for personnel and equipment

3.1 Personnel qualifications
See Sec.1 [5] Personnel qualification
Alternatively personnel performing visual examination and visual testing of welds may instead have documented training and qualifications according to NS 477, minimum CSWIP3.1 (Level 2), AWS' minimum CWI or minimum IWI-S or equivalent certification scheme.

3.2 Equipment
The following equipment may be needed:
— for visual testing of welds with limited accessibility; mirrors, endoscopes, boroscopes, fibre optics or TV cameras
— magnifying lens
— radius gauge
— various set of weld gauges for measuring fillet welds, reinforcement, undercuts, misalignment etc.
— light source
— lux meter.
For all equipment it shall demonstrated sufficient functionality. This means calibration of lux meters at regular intervals, resolution test for endoscopes, boroscopes, fibre optics or TV cameras, verification of zero mark/zero readings for all gauges etc. For examples of measuring equipment see ISO 17637 Annex A.

4 Testing conditions
The luminance at the surface shall be minimum 500 lx.
If required to obtain a good contrast and relief effect between imperfections and background, an additional light source should be used. All techniques and options that will be able to enhance the detectability of defects are allowed as far as the surface will not be damaged and/or the product functionality will not be influenced.
For performance of direct inspection, the access shall be sufficient to place the eye within 600 mm of the surface to be inspected and at an angle not less than approximately 30°.
5 Testing volume
If not otherwise agreed all weld connections in question should be 100 % visually inspected.
The testing volume shall as a minimum cover the zone which includes welds and parent metal for at least 20 mm on each side of the weld.
In case of doubt, visual testing should be supplemented by other non-destructive testing methods for surface inspections.

6 Preparation of surfaces
The weld surface shall be free of weld spatter, slag, scale, oil, grease, heavy and loose paint or other surface irregularities which might avoid imperfections from being obscured.
It can be necessary to improve the surface conditions e.g. by abrasive paper or local grinding to permit accurate interpretation of indications.

7 Evaluation of indications
The weld shall be visually tested to check that the following meets the requirements for the agreed acceptance criteria:
— the profile of the weld face and the height of any excess weld metal
— the surface of the weld is regular and present an even and satisfactory visual appearance
— the distance between the last layer and the parent metal or the position of runs has been carried out as required as described by the WPS
— the weld merge smoothly into the parent metal.
— the fillet welds have correct throat thickness and geometry
— undercuts, porosity or other surface imperfections to be within the maximum limit
— in case of butt welds it shall be checked that the weld preparation has been completely filled
— in case of single sided butt welds, the penetration, root concavity and any burn-through or shrinkage grooves are within the specified limits.
Weld zones in stainless steels, nickel and titanium alloys shall be visually inspected and fulfil the criteria for oxidation levels (annealing colours, corrosion, scratches).
In addition:
— any attachments temporarily welded to the object shall be removed. The area where the attachment was fixed shall be checked to ensure freedom of unacceptable imperfections
— all sharp corners adjacent to the weld shall be rounded. Preparation of edges/structural shapes to be prepared to an acceptable surface finish.

### 8 Visual testing of repaired welds

When welds fail to comply wholly or in part with the acceptance criteria and repair is necessary, the following actions shall be taken:

— if removal of metal exceeds 7% of the wall thickness or 3 mm, whichever is less, repair welding is required according to an approved procedure

— if the weld is partly removed it shall be checked that the excavation is sufficiently deep and long to remove all imperfections. It shall also be ensured that there is a gradual taper from the base of the cut to the surface of the weld metal at the ends and sides of the cut. The width and profile of the cut shall be prepared such that there is adequate access for re-welding

— it shall be checked that, when a cut has been made through a faulty weld and there has been no serious loss of material, or when a section of materials containing a faulty weld has been removed and a new section shall be inserted, the shape and dimensions of the weld preparation meet the requirements

— in case where part of a weld is gouged out the excavated area shall be ground and either magnetic particle testing or penetrant testing should be carried out prior to re-welding in order to ensure that the imperfection is removed.

### 9 Acceptance criteria

Whenever acceptance criteria are defined in the rules, approved drawings, IACS Recommendations or other agreed product standards, these criteria are mandatory. If no acceptance criteria are specified Quality Class C – Intermediate of EN ISO 5817 applies. For highly stressed areas more stringent requirements, such as Quality Level B, may be applied.

### 10 Reporting

When test reports are required, at least the following information in addition to the items listed under Sec.1 [11] shall be included in the report:

— viewing conditions
— imperfections exceeding the acceptance criteria and their location
— the extent of testing with reference to drawings as appropriate
— test devices used
— result of testing with reference to acceptance criteria.

If a permanent visual record of an examined weld is required, photographs or accurate sketches or both should be made with any imperfections clearly indicated. In case of photo documentation a ruler shall be part of the picture to serve for size comparison purposes.
APPENDIX A GUIDELINES REGARDING ULTRASONIC TESTING OF THERMO MECHANICALLY CONTROLLED, PROCESSED (TMCP) MATERIALS AND DETERMINATION OF THE ROOT AREA IN SINGLE SIDED WELDS

1 Ultrasonic testing of TMCP materials

1.1 Introduction

In ultrasonic angle beam examination, no variation arises in refraction angle or echo height with the propagation direction (longitudinal- or transverse to rolling direction) for isotropic steels, but the influence of the propagation direction of ultrasonic waves might be significant for anisotropic steels such as TM/TMCP materials.

The actual refraction angle varies with the propagation direction; the actual refraction angle can be larger than the nominal angle in the rolling direction (longitudinal L-direction), while it can be smaller in the transverse direction (T-direction). The echo height obtained using nominal 45° probe is normally nearly equal in the L-direction and in the T-direction, where the echo height with the nominal 60° and 70° probe might be much lower in the L-direction, and the position of its maximum amplitude is unclear.

Transmitted pulse amplitude and actual refraction angle of various types of anisotropic steels can be determined by the V-path method, shown in Figure 1.

1.2 Measurement of difference in angle of refraction and echo height/amplitude

The measurement of the difference in angle of refraction shall be carried out as follows:

Use the same type of probe as shall be used for the flaw examination (60° and 70°) and oppose these to each other in the direction of L (main rolling direction) or T (perpendicular to the rolling direction) as shown in Figure 1. Adjust the position of probe so that maximum transmission pulse strength (echo height) is obtained by the arrangement of V scanning/path. The actual probe angle refraction $\alpha_L$ or $\alpha_T$ can be calculated using the formula for skip-distance $S$ between the points of incidence at the position where the largest transmission pulse strength has been obtained:

$$S = 2t \times \tan \alpha$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

$$\tan \alpha = \frac{S}{2t}$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

$$\left( \alpha = \tan^{-1} \left( \frac{S}{2t} \right) \right)$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

The difference between the measured/calculated values of $\alpha_L$ and $\alpha_T$ shall be considered and compared to the nominal probe angle.
Figure 1 Through Transmission Technique

Also the echo height (amplitude) obtained when the probes are positioned respectively in the rolling direction and perpendicular to the rolling direction shall be considered.

1.3 Verification and adjustment (TM/TMCP)

The acoustic anisotropy shall be measured in accordance with Sec.2. If the result of the measurement confirms that the material is anisotropic the following shall be carried out: When the measured angle refraction deviates more than ± 2°, compared to the nominal probe angle, or the echo height varies more than ± 2dB the result of this measurement for both angle deviation and the attenuation/damping of the echo amplitude shall be adjusted and recorded before testing.

1.4 Reference block for TM/TMCP materials

When ultrasonic testing is performed on such materials, reference blocks shall be made out of the same material grades (and traceable to Heat No.) used in the production. The reference block shall have a dimension of min. 2 × full skip for 70° angle probe in both longitudinal rolling direction and perpendicular to the rolling direction, see Figure 2 and Figure 3. A side drilled hole (SDH) of diameter 3.0 mm shall be made in a depth of ½ or ¾ of the thickness of the block in both directions. Due to deviation in attenuation and refraction angle for these materials, the result of this measurement shall be adjusted before examination.
1.5 Conclusion for field verification

When ultrasonic testing is performed on TM/TMCP material without having reference block of the actual material, angle deviation and material attenuation shall be adjusted before start of testing. A material reference block shall be made for projects that uses large amounts of such material.

2 Ultrasonic testing and determination of single welded root areas

2.1 Configuring the root area

The configuration of the root area shall be judged by measuring the horizontal distances (a) as shown in the figures below:

Figure 3 Top view

Figure 4 Weld Misalignment
Figure 5 Excessive root penetration

Figure 6 Lack of root penetration

Figure 7 Root defect
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